Radiological and Surgical Anatomy of Ventral C1-C2 Complex
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To evaluate anatomical data of the bony structures during exploration of the C1-C2 complex.

MATERIAL and METHODS: This study included six formalin-fixed cadaveric head and neck specimens. Radiological images and anatomical measurements included: C1-C2 distance, bony distance between C1 anterior tubercle-nares and superior incisors, height of C1 anterior arch, and height and width of odontoid articular surface.

RESULTS: The mean distance between C1 anterior tubercle-nares and superior incisors on maxilla were 96.16 ± 8.07 mm and 84.14 ± 9.16 mm, respectively. The mean height of C1 anterior arch was 13.89 mm. The mean distance between medial borders of right-left C1 lateral masses was 19.10 ± 1.80 mm. The mean distance between medial border of lateral midline on mass right and left sides were 9.43 ± 0.88 mm and 9.68 ± 0.97 mm, respectively. The mean height of C1 anterior arch at midline was 13.89 ± 2.48 mm, and the mean distance between ventral surface of anterior arch and ventral joint of odontoid at midline was 6.43 ± 1.29 mm. The angles of transoral and transnasal approaches to C1 were 32.67 ± 4.59° and 32.00 ± 2.10°, respectively.

CONCLUSION: A safe transoral or transnasal odontoidectomy requires accurate measurements and imaging regarding ventral C1-C2 relationships, distances of odontoid, lateral mass and midline.

KEYWORDS: Atlantoaxial complex, Radiological anatomy, Surgical anatomy, Odontoidectomy, Cadaveric study

INTRODUCTION

The transoral (TO) approach is an important route to reach the upper cervical spine. This approach is commonly used for decompression of the craniovertebral junction (CVJ) ventrally, particularly for decompression of the upper cervical spinal cord due to cranial settling of the odontoid, CVJ tumours and infections. This route has also been used occasionally for C0-C1-C2 (occipito-atlanto-axial complex) ventral plating. Although the TO approach to the CVJ is an effective and important approach, it is associated with a high rate of morbidity. Therefore, many surgeons have recently started to perform minimally-invasive transnasal (TN) endoscopic odontoidectomy. Both TO and TN approaches require information about bony and neurovascular structures. The aim of this study is to measure bony structures related to these approaches after anterior exploration of the C1 (first cervical vertebra)-C2 (second cervical vertebra) complex.
MATERIAL and METHODS

This study was conducted at the Department of Anatomy of the Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine. Permission for this investigation was obtained from the Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine. Six adult head and neck cadaveric specimens fixed in formalin were used. The arteries and veins were filled with coloured silicon. The first component of the study was radiological imaging and measurement, and the second part included exploration of the surgical anatomy.

Measurements on Radiological Images of Cadavers

Computed tomography (CT) examination of the CVJ was performed before odontoidectomy. All CT examinations were performed with an 80-row detector CT scanner (Aquilion Prime; Toshiba). The study was conducted according to the following parameters: 120 peak kilovoltage (kVp); 80-120 milliamperes per second (mAs; 0.4 s gantry rotation period); 0.5-mm slice thickness; 512×512 matrix; and a 180-240 field of view (FOV). Three-dimensional measurements were made using the Vitrea workstation (Toshiba). The measurements were made on a sagittal image, while coronal and axial images were used for guidance. The radiological parameters are shown in Figure 1A, B.

Measurements of angles and distances related to TN and TO approaches are shown in Figure 2. The approach angles used a single entry point. For the TN approach, the entry point was defined as a point in the midline (ML) at the inferior edge of the nasal bone. The most superior access within the surgical field was arbitrarily defined as the point on the clivus at the base of the sella. The most inferior access within the surgical field was then approximated by creating a straight line from the inferior ML of the nasal bone to the lowest point on the odontoid or C2 while remaining tangential to, but not crossing, the hard palate. For the TO approach, the entry point was defined as a point in the ML at the inferior edge of the superior incisors. The most superior access within the surgical field was defined as the point in the ML at the superior aspect of the lower third of the clivus. This was chosen as the point of superior access that did not split the soft palate based on anatomical dissections. The most inferior access within the surgical field was chosen as the inferoposterior aspect of the body of C2. The measured parameters are listed in Table I.

Measurements on Cadavers During Surgical Dissection

A TO odontoidectomy procedure was simulated. After exploration of the anterior C1-C2 through the TO route, the pharyngeal mucosa was opened, dissection of mucosa over the C1 and C2 was achieved, and the anterior arch of C1 was cut on both sides, just medial of the C1 lateral masses. After removal of the C1 anterior arch, the base of the odontoid process was cut and pulled out and downward. After cutting the apical and alar ligaments, the odontoid process was removed.

All structures were measured before or after odontoidectomy. The parameters related to surgical anatomy included the TN and TO approaches (Figure 2), lateral mass (Figure 3), and C1-C2 ML (Figure 4A, B). The measured parameters are listed in Table II. The measurements were performed using a digital caliper sensitive to 0.01 mm (Mitotoyo).

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to evaluate the data, and p ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1: Radiological parameters. The built-in linear tool was used for measurements. A) red dotted line = TN approach angle (d), blue dotted line = TN approach distance (distance between the inferior edge of the nasal bone and the anterior aspect of the C1 arch) (a), yellow dotted line = TO approach angle (c), green dotted line = TO approach distance (distance between the inferior edge of the superior incisors and the anterior aspect of the C1 arch) (b). B) red line = odontoid tip level, blue line = superior aspect of the C1 arch, green line = inferior aspect of the C1 arch, purple line = odontoid base level. Inferior aspect of the C1 arch was measured relative to the odontoid base level. Distance between the red and blue lines = distance between a horizontal line drawn parallel to the superior edge of the C1 anterior arch and the odontoid apex (e). Distance between the purple and green lines = distance between a horizontal line drawn parallel to the inferior edge of the C1 anterior arch and the odontoid base (f).
Table I: Parameters Measured on Radiological Images of Cadavers

The distance between anterior tubercle of C1 and nasal bone (a) (Figure 1A).
The distance between anterior tubercle of C1 and superior incisors on maxilla (b) (Figure 1A).
The angle of transoral approach to C1 (c) (Figure 1A).
The angle of transnasal approach to C1 (d) (Figure 1A).
The distance between horizontal line drawn parallel to superior edge of C1 anterior arch and odontoid apex in midsagittal view of the CT (e) (Figure 1B).
The distance between horizontal line drawn parallel to inferior edge of C1 anterior arch and odontoid base in midsagittal view of the CT (f) (Figure 1B).

Figure 2: Parameters related to approach. ICA: Internal carotid artery; HN: hypoglossal nerve; PT: pharyngeal tubercle; SLG: sublingual gland, distance between nares and C1 anterior tubercle (G); distance between the superior incisors and the C1 anterior tubercle (H), distance between the pharyngeal tubercle and the inferior border of C2 body (I).

Figure 3: Ventrolateral parameters related to the lateral mass. Distance between the medial borders of right and left C1 lateral masses (J); distance between the medial border of the lateral mass and the midline on the right and left sides (K); height of the medial side of the lateral mass (L); height of the lateral side of the lateral mass (M); width of the lateral mass (N); height of the C1 anterior arch at ML (O).

Figure 4: Ventromedian parameters related to C1 and C2: A) Superior aspect of C1-C2. Distance between the ventral surface of the anterior arch and the ventral joint of the odontoid at ML (P); anteroposterior diameter of the odontoid base (dotted white line shows the base of the odontoid) (Q). B) Ventral aspect of C1-C2. Horizontal diameter of the odontoid base (R); width of the odontoid–C1 joint (S); height of the odontoid–C1 joint (T).
## RESULTS

### Parameters Measured on Radiological Images of Cadavers

The measurements of radiological anatomy parameters are listed in Table III. The distance between the anterior tubercle of C1 and the nasal bone (a) was 99.92 ± 6.10 mm, and the distance between the anterior tubercle of C1 and the superior incisors on the maxilla (b) was 76.65 ± 8.46 mm (Figure 1A). The angles of TO (c) and TN approaches to C1 (d) were 32.67° ± 4.59° and 32.00° ± 2.10°, respectively (Figure 1A). The distance between a horizontal line drawn parallel to the superior edge of the C1 anterior arch and the odontoid apex in a midsagittal CT image (e) was 1.63 ± 1.06 mm (Figure 1B). The odontoid apex was located over the C1 superior edge of the C1 anterior arch in five cases, and at the same level in one case. The distance between a horizontal line drawn parallel to the inferior edge of the C1 anterior arch and the odontoid base in a midsagittal CT image (f) was 7.07 ± 4.11 mm (Figure 1B). The odontoid base was located below the inferior edge of the C1 anterior arch in all cases.

### Parameters Measured on Cadavers During Surgical Dissection

#### Parameters related to approach

The measurements of the parameters related to the approach are listed in Table IV. The mean distance between the C1 anterior tubercle and the external entrypoints (nares and superior incisors) was 96.16 ± 8.07 and 84.14 ± 9.16 mm, respectively. The mean distance between the pharyngeal tubercle and the inferior border of the C2 body was 50.86 ± 5.33 mm.

#### Ventrolateral parameters related to lateral mass

The measurements of the parameters related to the lateral mass are listed in Table V. The mean distance between the medial borders of the right and left C1 lateral masses was 19.10 ± 1.80 mm. The mean distance between the medial border of the lateral mass and ML was 9.55 ± 0.89 mm. The mean distance between the medial border of the lateral mass and ML in the right and left sides (M) were 9.43 ± 0.88 mm.

### Table II: Parameters on Cadavers During Surgical Dissection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters related to approach</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The distance between nares and C1 anterior tubercle (G) (Figure 2).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between superior incisors and C1 anterior tubercle (H) (Figure 2).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between pharyngeal tubercle and inferior border of C2 body (I) (Figure 2).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III: Parameters Measured on Radiological Images of Cadavers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The distance between anterior tubercle of C1 and nasal bone (A)</td>
<td>99.92 ± 6.10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between anterior tubercle of C1 and superior incisors on maxilla (B)</td>
<td>76.65 ± 8.46 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The angle of transoral approach to C1 (C)</td>
<td>32.67 ± 4.59°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The angle of transnasal approach to C1 (D)</td>
<td>32.00 ± 2.10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between horizontal line drawn parallel to superior edge of C1 anterior arch and odontoid apex in midsagittal view of the CT (E)</td>
<td>1.63 ± 1.06 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between horizontal line drawn parallel to inferior edge of C1 anterior arch and odontoid basis in midsagittal view of the CT (F)</td>
<td>7.07 ± 4.11 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and 9.68 ± 0.97 mm, respectively. The mean heights of the medial and lateral sides of the C1 lateral mass were 15.56 ± 1.57 and 22.71 ± 1.57 mm, respectively. The mean width of the lateral mass was 12.86 ± 0.87 mm.

**Ventralmedian parameters related to C1 and C2**

The measurements of the ventromedian parameters related to C1-C2 are listed in Table VI. The mean height of the C1 anterior arch at ML was 13.89 ± 2.48 mm, and the distance between the ventral surface of the anterior arch and the ventral joint of the odontoid at ML was 6.43 ± 1.29 mm. The anteroposterior and mean horizontal diameters of the odontoid on its base were 12.12 ± 0.38 and 11.12 ± 0.94 mm, respectively. The mean width and height of the odontoid-C1 joint were 8.68 ± 0.39 and 11.64 ± 2.47 mm, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Odontoidectomy with the TO and TN approaches are specific surgical procedures requiring knowledge about many aspects of the procedure. The preoperative planning and the actual surgical procedure are facilitated by careful acquisition of the required information about the anatomical parameters related to approach, C1-odontoid, lateral mass and morphometry of C1-C2. This study confirms that the TO approach provides a shorter distance to the surgical field when compared to the TN approach. This study reveals that the odontoid base is always located below the inferior edge of the C1 anterior arch, and in most cases, the odontoid apex is located over the superior edge of the C1 anterior arch (83.3%). This study also demonstrates the availability of the anterior bony aspect of the C1-C2 complex for anterior stabilisation procedures.

**Parameters Related to Approach**

The angle of approach and distance from the entry point (TN or TO) to the surgical field are among the most important parameters related to approach which have an effect on the surgical procedure.

The angle of approach reflects the limits of the surgical field, particularly the superior limits. The angle of the TN approach is reported to be 28° by Baird et al. (2), and 27.1° by De...
Almeida et al. (6), and it is 32.00° ± 2.10° in the current study. Our results are in agreement with previously published results. The angle to the surgical field may dictate the use of an endoscope. Preoperative measurement is helpful in predicting whether the use of an endoscope will be necessary.

The distance between the entry point and the surgical field is another important parameter to determine the length of the approach and the length of the surgical instruments to be used during TO or TN odontoidectomy procedure. The distance between the superior incisors to the C1 anterior tubercle was reported to be 82.5 ± 7.8 mm by Ai et al. (1), and 102 mm by Baird et al. (2). The distance for a TN approach was reported to be 94 mm by Baird et al. (2). Our study reveals that the distance between the anterior tubercle of C1 and the oral entry point is shorter than the distance between the anterior tubercle of C1 and the nasal entry point (76.65 ± 8.46 vs. 99.92 ± 6.10 mm in radiological images, and 84.14 ± 9.16 vs. 96.16 ± 8.07 mm in anatomical images). However, this difference does not seem to be important in the era of microsurgery and endoscopic surgery.

**Parameters Related to Lateral Mass**

The distance between the medial border of the lateral mass and ML is 9.55 ± 0.89 mm in the current study. This distance was reported to be 7.8 ± 1.0 mm by Al et al. (1).

The distance between the medial borders of the right and left lateral mass is another parameter, reflecting the maximum width of the C1 anterior arch covering the odontoid process. In different series, the distances between the medial borders of the right and left lateral mass are 22.9 ± 2.6 (13), 18.5 ± 2.4 (10), and 16.1 ± 1.5 mm (14). The differences in results may be explained by the measurement techniques employed. This distance is found to be 19.10 ± 1.80 mm in the current study. Notably, C1 anterior arch osteotomy, starting at ML, should not exceed more than 7-8 mm on each side.

The other parameters related to the lateral mass are the height and width of the C1 lateral mass. Information about these two parameters may be helpful during the C1-C2 plating procedure. This study reveals that the C1 lateral mass is wedge-shaped; therefore, the medial height is smaller than the lateral height. In our study, the height of the C1 lateral mass is 15.56 ± 1.57 mm on the medial side and 22.71 ± 1.57 mm on the lateral side. The height of the medial side of the C1 anterior arch was reported to be 11.0 ± 1.2 (11), 7.28 ± 1.75 (3), and 8.81 ± 1.46 mm (5), in previous studies. Gupta reported that the mean height of the lateral mass (anterior) was 17.28 ± 1.87 mm (9). Similarly, the height of the lateral side of the C1 lateral mass was found to be 18.01 ± 2.33 mm by Christensen et al. (5), and between 17.4 ± 1.65 (minimum) and 22.0 ± 1.89 mm (maximum) by Kandziora et al. (11).

In our study, the width of the C1 lateral mass is 12.86 ± 0.87 mm. The same parameter was measured as 9.5 ± 1.0 mm by Rocha et al. (13), and 14.1 ± 1.5 mm by Lu et al. (12).

**Ventromedian Parameters Related to C1 and C2**

The height of the C1 anterior arch at ML was reported to be 15.4 ± 3.2 mm (7), 12.39 ± 2.68 mm (5), 11.1 ± 1.3 mm (12), 10.33 ± 1.67 mm (8), and 10.8 ± 1.21 mm (11), in previous studies. This parameter is 13.89 ± 2.48 mm in the current study. The differences in the results of these published studies may be explained with methodological and material differences.

The distance between the ventral surface of the C1 anterior arch and the ventral joint of the odontoid at ML, a distance reflecting the depth required to reach the odontoid process, was reported to be 7.0 ± 1.2 mm by Tun et al. (14). This distance is 6.43 ± 1.29 mm in the current study.

The horizontal diameter of the odontoid base was reported to be 10.1 ± 1.4 mm (14), 9.3 ± 0.7 mm (12), and a minimum of 9.7 ± 0.79 mm and a maximum of 10.8 ± 0.84 mm (11), in previously published studies. It is 11.12 ± 0.94 mm in the current study.

The anteroposterior diameter of the odontoid base was reported to be a minimum of 9.5 ± 0.78 mm and a maximum of 10.8 ± 0.84 mm (11), and 10.9 ± 0.8 mm (12), in previous studies. This parameter is 12.12 ± 0.38 mm in the current study.

The anteroposterior diameter of the odontoid-C1 joint may be important during the liberation of the odontoid process from its joint. According to Gosavi et al. (8), the width of the odontoid-C1 joint was 9.37 ± 2.19 mm, and the height of this joint was 8.91 ± 2.34 mm. In the current study, the width and height of this joint are 8.68 ± 0.39 mm and 11.64 ± 2.47 mm, respectively.

**CONCLUSION**

A safe TO or TN odontoidectomy requires concise measurement and understanding about ventral C1-C2 relationships, particularly regarding distances of the odontoid, lateral mass and ML.
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