Functional Outcome After Primary Decompressive Craniectomy for Acute Subdural Hematoma in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury

Safwat ABOUHASHEM^{1,2,3}, Hany ELDAWOODY^{2,4,5}

¹Zagazig University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurosurgery, Egypt

²Kingdom Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

³Saudi German Hospital, Department of Neurosurgery, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁴Mansoura University Hospital, Department of Neurosurgery, Mansoura, Egypt

⁵Prince Mohamed Bin Abdul-Aziz Hospital Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding author: Hany ELDAWOODY ✉ hanyeldawoody@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

AIM: To evaluate the impact of primary decompressive craniectomy (DC) on the functional outcome in patients with post traumatic acute subdural hematoma (SDH).

MATERIAL and METHODS: A retrospective cohort analysis of 92 patients with post traumatic acute SDH who underwent primary DC and evacuation of SDH. The primary outcome variable is Glasgow outcome scale at 6 months follow up, while exposure variables are demographic data (age and gender), initial Glasgow coma scale, Marshall Classification Score of traumatic brain injury, midline shift, side of the lesion, surgery related complications and time of cranioplasty.

RESULTS: Out of the 92 patients in this study, 89.1% were males and the mean age was 30.2 ± 14.4 years. At admission, the mean Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) was 5.8 ± 2 and mean Marshall score was 4.7 ± 0.7 , while the average midline shift was 8.8 ± 3.5 mm. Right decompressive craniectomy was performed in 46 (50%) patients, while in 2 (2.1%) cases, bi-frontal craniectomy was performed and left decompressive craniectomy was performed in 44 (47.8%) patients. Mortality was reported in 38 patients (41.3%) and poor outcome was reported in 24 patients (26.1%), while 30 patients (32.6%) showed good outcome. During the follow up period, cranioplasty for restoration of the bone defect was completed in 52 patients.

CONCLUSION: Primary decompressive craniectomy after STBI for post-traumatic acute subdural hematoma improved the favorable outcome whenever the initial GCS >4 among adult patients.

KEYWORDS: Acute SDH, Decompressive Craniectomy, Outcome

ABBREVIATIONS: DC: Decompressive craniectomy, TBI: Traumatic brain injury, STBI: Severe traumatic brain injury, ASDH: Acute subdural hematoma, GCS: Glasgow coma scale, SDH: Subdural hematoma, CT: Computed tomography, GOS: Glasgow outcome scale

INTRODUCTION

Acute Post traumatic subdural hematoma (SDH) increases the major morbidity and mortality in patients with severe traumatic brain injury (STBI) (30,39,51).

Surgical evacuation is the mainstay of treatment in patients with mass effect; furthermore, the control of the post traumatic intracranial hypertension may outweigh the importance of hematoma evacuation per se (25,51).

For a long time, craniotomy and hematoma evacuation has been the main surgical procedure of posttraumatic acute SDH; furthermore, decompressive craniectomy (DC) may be an alternative surgical procedure which in addition to hematoma evacuation, provides an extra space to accommodate the edematous brain tissue and controls the post traumatic intracranial hypertension (39).

One third of patients after the initial craniotomy and evacuation of acute SDH ultimately needs secondary DC (8), so early planned aggressive intervention in the form of primary DC, evacuation of the hematoma and duroplasty would prevent secondary brain insult (46,50,53); however, there is no universal consensus regarding the appropriate surgical strategy and the decision to perform either craniotomy or primary DC which remains a controversial issue till date (36,39,53).

In this study, the outcome of patients who underwent primary DC and evacuation of acute SDH after severe traumatic brain injury was retrospectively evaluated to clarify the effect of primary DC on the functional status after severe traumatic brain injury associated with acute SDH. The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of primary DC on the functional status in patients who presented with severe traumatic brain injury and post traumatic acute SDH.

MATERIAL and METHODS

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee [Kingdom Hospital ethical committee (NS 17-07-2018) on July 2018] and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

This is a retrospective collaborative cohort analysis of 92 patients with severe TBI (Glasgow Coma Scale score ≤ 8) with acute SDH and mass effect who underwent primary DC and evacuation of the hematoma between 2013 and 2019. The primary outcome variable is Glasgow outcome scale (GOS) (21), while exposure variables are demographic data (age and gender), initial Glasgow coma scale, Marshall Classification Score of traumatic brain injury, midline shift, side of the lesion, surgery related complications and time of cranioplasty.

Over the past decade in our institutions, primary decompressive craniectomy and hematoma evacuation for post traumatic acute SDH was generally agreed on being the main line of surgical management in such patients. The end point of the study was death, or 6 months follow up.

Patients with Glasgow Coma Scale >8 , patients with isolated extradural hematoma, patients with previous neurological deficit, patients with GCS-3 and bilaterally dilated non-reactive and those with incomplete data were excluded.

The Glasgow Coma Scale (47,48) (Table I) was used to classify the initial neurological status while Marshall Classification Score of traumatic brain injury (31) was used to classify the radiological findings (Table II).

The six degrees initial neurological condition of the patients' GCS (GCS 3-8) were further subdivided into three subgroups; critically severe (GCS 3-4), critically moderate (GCS 5-6) and critically mild (GCS 7-8).

In this study, the extra-axial fluid collection was reported as a complication only being significant whenever its thickness was more than 1 cm, whether the content was blood, serosanguinous, infected fluid, or mere CSF collection.

The outcome was categorized according to Glasgow Outcome Scale (21) (Table III) into good outcome (GOS 4-5), poor outcome (GOS 2-3) and death (GOS 1), then the functional status was dichotomized into unfavorable outcome (GOS 1-3) versus favorable outcome (GOS 4-5).

Statistical Analysis

A statistical analysis was carried out using Stata Software version 15.1. Descriptive statistical data were summarized as mean $\pm$ SD and/or proportions as appropriate. We compared the mean, or proportion of the exposure factors between patients with favorable outcome and patients with unfavorable outcome using t-test, univariate logistic regression test, chi-square test, Fisher exact test and Kruskal-Wallis equality-of-populations rank test.

Stratification and a multivariable logistic regression were performed to eliminate the effects of confounders and to identify the independent clinical factors associated with unfavorable outcome and the final multivariable model included all variables with p-value <0.05 .

Table I: Glasgow Coma Score (Score of 13 or Higher Correlates with a Mild Brain Injury, 9 to 12 is a Moderate Injury and 8 or Less a Severe Brain Injury).

	Best Eye Response	Best Verbal Response	Best Motor Response
1	No eye opening	No verbal response	No motor response
2	Eye opening to pain	Incomprehensible sounds	Extension to pain
3	Eye opening to verbal command	Inappropriate words	Flexion to pain
4	Eyes open spontaneously	Confused	Withdrawal from pain
5		Orientated	Localizing pain
6			Obeys Commands

Table II: Marshall CT Scale of Traumatic Brain Injury

Scale	CT findings
Category I	midline shift of 0 to 5 mm basal cisterns remain visible no high or mixed density lesions >25 cm ³
Category II	midline shift of 0 to 5 mm basal cisterns compressed or completely effaced no high or mixed density lesions >25 cm ³
Category III	midline shift of 0 to 5 mm basal cisterns compressed or completely effaced no high or mixed density lesions >25 cm ³
Category IV	midline shift > 5mm no high or mixed density lesions >25 cm ³
Category V	any lesion evacuated surgically
Category VI	high or mixed density lesions >25 cm ³ not surgically evacuated

Table III: Glasgow Outcome Scale

Scale	Description
GOS-1	Death
GOS-2	Persistent vegetative state: Minimal responsiveness
GOS-3	Severe disability: Conscious but disabled; dependent on others for daily support
GOS-4	Moderate disability: Disabled but independent; can work in sheltered setting
GOS-5	Good recovery: Resumption of normal life despite minor deficits

Table IV: Characteristics of the Study Population

Variables	Number (%) Mean $\pm$ SD
Number of patients	92 (100%)
Age	30.2 $\pm$ 14.4
Pediatric	4 (4.35%)
Adult	84 (91.3%)
Elderly	4 (4.35%)
Gender	
Male	82 (89.1%)
Female	10 (10.9%)
GCS	5.8 $\pm$ 2
Marshall scale	4.7 $\pm$ 0.7
Midline shift	8.8 $\pm$ 3.5
Right side lesion	46 (50%)

■ RESULTS

Out of the 92 patients in this study, 89.1% were males and their mean age was 30.2 ± 14.4 years, 4 patients (4.4%) were younger than 16 years and 4 patients (4.4%) were older than 65 years. At admission, the mean Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) was 5.8 ± 2 and mean Marshall score was 4.7 ± 0.7 , while the average midline shift was 8.8 ± 3.5 and left sided decompressive craniectomy was done for 44 patients (47.8%). During the follow up period, cranioplasty for restoration of the bone defect was completed in 52 patients (Table IV).

At the end point of the study, mortality was reported in 38 patients (41.3%) and poor outcome was reported in 24 patients (26.1%), while 30 patients (32.6%) showed good outcome (Table V). The surgery related complications (Table V) included significant postoperative collections in 18 patients (19.6%), CSF leak in 6 patients (6.5%), infection in 6 patients (6.5%), sunken flap in 8 patients (8.7%) and hydrocephalus in 10 patients (10.9%). The base line criteria of the study population are summarized in Table IV.

Correlation between GOS and initial GCS was detected by linear regression analysis (Figure 1), and the regression coefficient was found to be 0.4.

Table V: Surgery Related Complications and Outcome

Variable	Number (%) Mean $\pm$ SD
Surgery related complications	
Post-operative extra-axial collection	18 (19.6%)
Post-operative infection	6 (6.5%)
Post-operative CSF leak	6 (6.5%)
Sunken flap	8 (8.7%)
Hydrocephalus	10 (10.9%)
GOS	2.6 $\pm$ 1.6
Outcome	
Mortality	38 (41.3%)
Poor functional status	24 (26.1%)
Good functional status	30 (32.6%)
Functional outcome status	
Favorable outcome	30 (32.6%)
Unfavorable outcome	62 (67.4%)

After dichotomizing the patients into favorable outcome (GOS 4-5) and unfavorable outcome (GOS 1-3), the exposure variables were compared and revealed that the initial GCS was lower in patients with unfavorable outcome with statistically significant value ($p < 0.0001$ - Table V).

After stratification of GCS subgroups, all patients with critically severe initial neurological status (GCS 3-4) showed unfavorable outcome, while the likelihood of favorable outcome in patients with critically moderate initial neurological status (GCS 5-6) was 30% and in patients with critically mild initial neurological status (GCS 7-8), the likelihood of favorable outcome was 57.1% ($p < 0.0001$ - Table VI, Figure 2).

Moreover, when statistical analysis was performed between critically moderate and critically mild initial neurological status groups, there was statistically significant difference in GOS ($p = 0.046$ - Table VI).

Although there were no statistically significant differences in demographic data between both groups [Table 6], after stratification of the data, unfavorable outcome could be predicted in elderly patients > 65 years, also favorable outcome could be predicted in pediatric patients < 16 years with statistically significant difference (p value = 0.049 - Table VI, Figure 3).

Despite the tendency of lower mean time for cranioplasty after DC among favorable outcome group compared to that of unfavorable outcome patients' group, there was no statistically significant difference (61.9 and 85.2 days, respectively, $p = 0.058$ - Table V, Figure 4).

Figure 1: Correlation between GOS and initial GCS.

Figure 2: Favorable and unfavorable outcome according to the severity of initial neurological status.

Figure 3: Favorable and unfavorable outcome according to the Age group.

Table VI: Comparison of Demographics and Baseline Clinical Characteristics Between Patients with Favorable and Patients with Unfavorable Outcome

	Favorable outcome 30 (32.6%)	Unfavorable outcome 62 (67.4%)	p
GOS	4.7 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.8	<0.0001***
Age(Y)	31.1 ± 12	35.8 ± 18	0.2
Pediatric (Less than 16y)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0.049*
Adult (16-65)	28 (35%)	52 (65%)	
Elderly (More than 65y)	0 (0%)	8 (100%)	
Gender			0.36
Male	28 (34.1%)	54 (65.8%)	
Female	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	
Baseline clinical and radiological findings			
Mean GCS	7.3 ± 0.9	5.1 ± 2	<0.0001***
GCS subgroups			
Critically severe (GCS 3-4)	0 (0%)	30 (100%)	<0.046* <0.0001***
Critically moderate (GCS 5-6)	6 (30%)	14 (70%)	
Critically mild (GCS 7-8)	24 (57.1%)	18 (42.9%)	
Marshall scale	4.6 ± 0.6	4.7 ± 0.8	0.28
Midline shift(mm)	8.4 ± 3.2	9 ± 3.7	0.6
Side of decompression			
Right side decompression	16 (34.8%)	30 (65.2%)	0.8
left side decompression	14 (31.8%)	30 (68.2%)	
Bifrontal decompression	2 (100%)	0	
Time of Cranioplasty (days)	61.9 ± 7.5	85.2 ± 9.1	0.058
Complications			
Extra-axial collection	8 (26.7%)	10 (16.1%)	0.2
Hydrocephalus	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	0.36
CSF Leak	2 (33.3%)	4 (66.7%)	0.9
Sunken flap	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	0.6
Infection	4 (66.7%)	2 (33.3%)	0.7

Surgery related complications; Marshall radiological scale and midline shift did not show significant difference between patients with favorable and unfavorable outcome (Table VI).

DISCUSSION

Evacuation of the post-traumatic acute SDH is the main stay of management in patients with severe traumatic brain injury, but the ability to control ICP could be more important than removal of the subdural blood clot (51). Although DC can reduce the intracranial hypertension (15,25), its impact on

the clinical outcome is still unclear and is a debatable issue (20,41,45,53). Controversy and debate resides in whether DC after STBI would be able to improve the clinical outcome (5,6) or just decrease the mortality with subsequent severe disability in return (14,18).

The functional outcome after severe traumatic brain injury is a multifactorial issue (43). Although initial neurological status, radiological findings, gender, age, and associated injuries have been studied with conflicting results (22,33,43), there is a wide agreement that post traumatic intracranial hypertension is associated with unfavorable outcome (22,25,33).

Figure 4: Correlation between time of cranioplasty and GOS.

Decompressive craniectomy could reduce the intracranial hypertension after severe traumatic brain injury (15). In patients associated with acute SDH, decompressive craniectomy could be performed as a pre-planned primary procedure, intraoperative decision in case with fulminant intra-operative swelling or might be secondary after evacuation of the hematoma with post-operative persistent intracranial hypertension (26,42,52,53).

In the randomized study of Hutchinson et al. (20), DC decreased the mortality from 48.9% at 6 months in patients who were treated non-surgically to 26.9%, while favorable functional status was reported in 27.4% after DC versus 26.6% in non-surgical patients.

In the current study, the favorable functional neurological outcome was detected in 32.6%, which is comparable to Hutchinson et al. (20) study. Although the mortality in the current study was reported in 41.3% of the patients which is higher than the mortality in the Hutchison et al. (20) study, the overall unfavorable outcome which included both mortality and severe disability is generally comparable (in the current study 67.4% [41.3% and 26.1%, respectively] versus 72.6% [26.9% and 45.7%, respectively]).

The higher proportion of mortality rate in the current study could be attributed to the known higher risk of mortality (30,51) due to the presence of acute subdural hematoma in all our TBI cases which was not present mostly in Hutchinson's cases.

In the meta-analysis of Phan et al., poor outcome and mortality were lower in patient with severe traumatic brain injury and subdural hematoma who were treated by craniotomy and evacuation of the hematoma than those who underwent decompressive craniectomy as the poor outcome was (50.1% vs. 60.1%) and the mortality rate was (13.9% vs. 40.5%), respectively (39). This can be well explained by the higher proportion of DC over craniotomy for treating TBI cases who presented with poor initial neurological status GCS scale <8 (39). However, in the current study, all patients presented with poor neurological status.

Also in the multicenter study of Leitgeb et al., their outcome is comparable to the result of the current study as unfavorable outcome was reported after acute SDH in 65.3% (46.7%, 18.6% mortality and poor functional status, respectively), while 32.2% showed favorable outcome, and the outcome was unknown in 2.5% with significantly higher mortality in the non-operative treatment (30).

Initial neurological status has significant correlation with outcome after severe traumatic brain injury (7,49). Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) is the standardized scale for measurement of neurological status after TBI (47,48). Kodliwadmth et al (24) concluded that GCS can predict the risk and prognosis in patients with traumatic brain injury and significant correlation with outcome after TBI had been reported (7,35,49). Moreover, low $GCS \leq 8$ on admission have poor prognosis and usually correlates with poor outcome either mortality or vegetative state (11,35).

In this study, significant correlation between initial neurological status using GCS scale and functional neurological outcome have been detected (correlation coefficient was 0.4 with p-value <0.0001, Figure 1). Moreover, the mean GCS was significantly lower in patients with unfavorable outcome than patients with favorable outcome with p-value <0.0001 and also after stratification of GCS subgroups, all patients with critically severe initial neurological status (GCS 3-4) showed unfavorable outcome (Figure 2) which is comparable to the study of Bagheri et al (2) where most of their patients who presented with $GCS < 5$ showed unfavorable outcome (83%). Nevertheless, Demetriades et al. (11) reported an overall mortality of 60% among critically low GCS on admission; on the other hand, there were case reports describing the presence of good functional recovery after decompressive craniectomy for critically severe initial neurological status (GCS 3-4) (1) which was not encountered in the current study.

Although DC in adults has successfully decreased intracranial pressure and decreased mortality, it was associated with more vegetative and poor functional status (9,16,20). However, elderly patients are usually associated with poor outcome after TBI (19). In a retrospective study of surgical outcomes after acute SDH in elderly patients, mortality was reported in 42% and severe disability in 33% of the patients while only 24% had the ability to leave the hospital to nursing care special facility and it was found that there is an increased risk of death after DC in elderly patients over 80 years old, $GCS \leq 8$, or cerebral edema (35). Moreover, in the current study, with small number of elderly cases (only 4 cases) included, all of them showed unfavorable outcome, while better outcome was observed in pediatric patients less than 16 years (Figure 3). Nevertheless, this observation regarding both pediatric and elderly patient groups could not be generalized owing to the small number of each group that needs further research work.

Great discrepancy in the literature regarding the effect of gender on the outcome after TBI, as a poorer outcome in women with TBI than men have been reported (3,27), but no differences between males and females in either acute complications or outcome after TBI were also reported (37,40). In our study, the observed liability of unfavorable outcome among female

Figure 5: Case presentation with favorable outcome. **A)** Initial CT brain shows acute SDH with midline shift 1 cm. **B, C)** Follow up CT brain shows the early postoperative findings. **D)** Follow up CT brain after one week. **E, F)** post-cranioplasty follow up CT brain.

Figure 6: Case presentation with unfavorable outcome **A,B, C)** Initial CT brain shows acute SDH with midline shift more than 1 cm **D)** Follow up CT brain shows the early postoperative findings. **E)** Delayed follow up CT brain immediately before Cranioplasty **F)** post-cranioplasty follow up CT brain.

patients could not be relied on, owing to the small number of female patients included in this study (11%, 10 patients).

Different radiological scales were used to assess the severity of TBI as the initial radiological evaluation can predict outcome in patients with TBI (34,38). Marshal CT score (14) was used as radiological predictors for the outcome after TBI and higher score was found to be significantly associated with early mortality (23). In this study, there was no initial radiological significant difference between patients with favorable and unfavorable outcome as all patients in this study had subdural hematoma which made them to have nearly similar Marshall score (Table VI).

The midline shift in the initial CT scan of the brain may indicate the severity of mass effect and liability for herniation. In this study, the degree of midline shift in the preoperative imaging between patients with favorable and patients with unfavorable outcome was compared and showed no significant difference between both patient groups.

Functionally significant Brodmann's areas such as Broca's area and Wernicke's area are found in the dominant hemisphere which is usually on the left side, so left sided lesions may have worse outcome than right sided lesion; but in this study, there was no difference in the functional outcome between right and left side DC (Table VI).

Complications after DC involve blooming of contusions, contralateral acute subdural hematoma, infection, sunken flap and hydrocephalus (32). In our study, the most common complications were extra-axial collections, infection, hydrocephalus and sunken flap, but there was no significant difference in the post-operative complications (Table VI) between patients with favorable and patients with unfavorable outcome.

The reported frequency of extra-axial fluid collection is as high as 25-60% (32), while in our study, it was reported in 18 patients (19.6%). The extra-axial fluid collection after DC could be attributed to alteration in CSF dynamics together with increase in the cerebral perfusion pressure after removal of the bone flap (29,54). Hydrocephalus after DC for TBI has been reported to range from 10% to 40% (32), while in our study, the incidence of hydrocephalus was reported in 11% without observed influence on the functional outcome. Sunken flap is a delayed underreported complication after DC in the literature, although it has been reported in 10% of DC cases by Kurland et al (28). In the current study, it was reported in 8 patients (8.5%). Sunken flap was observed whenever cranioplasty has been delayed and could be attributed to unopposed flap compression by the atmospheric pressure especially after subsidence of raised ICP (13). Post-operative infection has been reported in 1-10% of patients after DC (17,44). In the current study, infection was encountered in 6 patients (6.5%), which is comparable to other literatures. Several factors could influence the infection rate such as large scalp incision; small based flap pedicle that might lead to wound breakdown and secondary infection (32,44).

Restoration of the skull bone after DC improves the hemodynamics of the brain and CSF flow, facilitates the rehabilitation and enhances the physical and cognitive

recovery (4,10,12) but the timing of cranioplasty is still a controversial issue and in this study, there is a tendency for better outcome with earlier restoration of skull bone defect (Figure 4), yet it is still statistically insignificant (correlation coefficient is 0.007 and p value is 0.1).

Despite the presence of several studies in the literature discussing the value of DC, the current study to the best of our knowledge, involved only patients with severe traumatic brain injury (GCS 3-8), while most of other research studies were dealing with TBI in general; which might lead to pooling of results between mild, moderate and severe TBI patients. In addition, the current study has discussed almost all the important clinical and radiological factors that might affect the functional outcome among severe TBI patients in particular which were not frequently addressed in other previous studies. Nevertheless, in the current study, severe TBI patients (GCS $\leq$ 8) have been further subdivided into three subgroups; critically severe (GCS 3-4), critically moderate (GCS 5-6), and critically mild (GCS 7-8).

CONCLUSION

Primary decompressive craniectomy after STBI for post-traumatic acute subdural hematoma improved the favorable outcome whenever the initial GCS >4 among adult patients.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Study conception and design: SA

Data collection: SA, HE

Analysis and interpretation of results: SA

Draft manuscript preparation: SA, HE

Critical revision of the article: HE

All authors (SA, HE) reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Afif A: Decompressive craniectomy following severe traumatic brain injury with an initial glasgow coma scale score of 3 or 4. *Clin Surg* 4: 2503, 2019
2. Bagheri SR, Alimohammadi E, Saeedi H, Sepehri P, Soleimani P, Fatahian R, Abdi A, Beiki O: Decompressive craniectomy in traumatic brain injury: Factors influencing prognosis and outcome. *Iran J Neurosurg* 3(1):21-26, 2017
3. Berz K, Divine J, Foss KB, Heyl R, Ford KR, Myer GD: Sex-specific differences in the severity of symptoms and recovery rate following sports-related concussion in young athletes. *Phys Sportsmed* 41(2):58-63, 2013
4. Bor-Seng-Shu E, Figueiredo EG, Amorim RL, Teixeira MJ, Valbuza JS, de Oliveira MM, Panerai RB: Decompressive craniectomy: A meta-analysis of influences on intracranial pressure and cerebral perfusion pressure in the treatment of traumatic brain injury. *J Neurosurg* 117:589-596, 2012
5. Bullock MR, Chesnut R, Ghajar J, Gordon D, Hartl R, Newell DW, Servadei F, Walters BC, Wilberger JE: Surgical management of acute subdural hematomas. *Neurosurgery* 58:S16-24, 2006

6. Bullock MR, Chesnut R, Ghajar J, Gordon D, Hartl R, Newell DW, Servadei F, Walters BC, Wilberger J: Surgical management of traumatic parenchymal lesions. *Neurosurgery* 58:S25-46, 2006
7. Choi SC, Narayan RK, Anderson RL, Ward JD: Enhanced specificity of prognosis in severe head injury. *J Neurosurg* 69(3):381-385, 1988
8. Compagnone C, Murray GD, Teasdale GM, Maas AI, Esposito D, Princi P, D'Avella D, Servadei F: The management of patients with intradural posttraumatic mass lesions: A multicenter survey of current approaches to surgical management in 729 patients coordinated by the European Brain Injury Consortium. *Neurosurgery* 57:1183-1192, 2005
9. Cooper DJ, Rosenfeld JV, Murray L, Arabi YM, Davies AR, D'Urso P, Kossmann T, Ponsford J, Seppelt I, Reilly P, Wolfe R: Decompressive craniectomy in diffuse traumatic brain injury. *N Engl J Med* 364(16):1493-1502, 2011
10. De Cola MC, Corallo F, Pria D, Lo Buono V, Calabrò RS: Timing for cranioplasty to improve neurological outcome: A systematic review. *Brain Behav* 8(11):e01106, 2018
11. Demetriades D, Kuncir E, Velmahos GC, Rhee P, Alo K, Chan LS: Outcome and prognostic factors in head injuries with an admission Glasgow Coma Scale score of 3. *Arch Surg* 139(10):1066-1068, 2004
12. Erdogan E, Duz B, Kocaoglu M, Izci Y, Sirin S, Timurkaynak E: The effect of cranioplasty on cerebral hemodynamics: Evaluation with transcranial Doppler sonography. *Neurol India* 51:479-481, 2003
13. Fawley N, Udeh C: Sinking skin flap syndrome after decompressive craniectomy: A case report. *A A Pract* 11(9):241-243, 2018
14. Gillett GR, Honeybul S, Ho KM, Lind CR: Neurotrauma and the RUB: where tragedy meets ethics and science. *J Med Ethics* 36:727-730, 2010
15. Grille P, Tommasino N: Decompressive craniectomy in severe traumatic brain injury: Prognostic factors and complications. *Rev Bras Ter Intensiva* 27(2):113-118, 2015
16. Haddad SH, Arabi YM: Critical care management of severe traumatic brain injury in adults. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 20:12, 2012
17. Honeybul S: Complications of decompressive craniectomy for head injury. *J Clin Neurosci* 17(4):430-435, 2010
18. Honeybul S, Ho KM, Lind CR, Gillett GR: Surgical intervention for severe head injury: ethical considerations when performing life-saving but non-restorative surgery. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 153:1105-1110, 2011
19. Hukkelhoven CW, Steyerberg EW, Rampen AJ, Farace E, Habbema JD, Marshall LF, Murray GD, Maas AI: Patient age and outcome following severe traumatic brain injury: An analysis of 5600 patients. *J Neurosurg* 99(4):666-673, 2003
20. Hutchinson PJ, Koliass AG, Timofeev IS, Corteen EA, Czosnyka M, Timothy J, Anderson I, Bulters DO, Belli A, Eynon CA, Wadley J, Mendelow AD, Mitchell PM, Wilson MH, Critchley G, Sahuquillo J, Unterberg A, Servadei F, Teasdale GM, Pickard JD, Menon DK, Murray GD, Kirkpatrick PJ, RESCUEicp Trial Collaborators: Trial of decompressive craniectomy for traumatic intracranial hypertension. *New England Journal of Medicine* 375(12):1119-1130, 2016
21. Jennett B, Bond M: Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. *Lancet* 1(7905):480-484, 1975
22. Juul N, Morris GF, Marshall SB, Marshall LF: Intracranial hypertension and cerebral perfusion pressure: Influence on neurological deterioration and outcome in severe head injury. The Executive Committee of the International Selfotel Trial. *J Neurosurg* 92(1):1-6, 2000
23. Katsnelson M, Mackenzie L, Frangos S, Oddo M, Levine JM, Pukenas B, Faerber J, Dong C, Kofke WA, le Roux PD: Are initial radiographic and clinical scales associated with subsequent intracranial pressure and brain oxygen levels after severe traumatic brain injury? *Neurosurgery* 70(5):1095-1105, 2011
24. Kodliwadmth HB, Koppad SN, Desai M, Badiger SP: Correlation of Glasgow outcome score to Glasgow coma score assessed at admission. *Int Surg J* 3:1959-1963, 2016
25. Koliass AG, Adams H, Timofeev I, Czosnyka M, Corteen EA, Pickard JD, Turner C, Gregson BA, Kirkpatrick PJ, Murray GD, Menon DK, Hutchinson PJ: Decompressive craniectomy following traumatic brain injury: Developing the evidence base. *Br J Neurosurg* 30(2):246-250, 2016
26. Koliass AG, Kirkpatrick PJ, Hutchinson PJ: Decompressive craniectomy: Past, present and future. *Nat Rev Neurol* 9:405-415, 2013
27. Kraus JF, Peek-Asa C, McArthur D: The independent effect of gender on outcomes following traumatic brain injury: A preliminary investigation. *Neurosurg Focus* 8(1):e5, 2000
28. Kurland DB, Khaladj-Ghom A, Stokum JA, Carusillo B, Karimy JK, Gerzanich V, Sahuquillo J, Simard JM: Complications associated with decompressive craniectomy: A systematic review. *Neurocrit Care* 23(2):292-304, 2015
29. Lang JK, Ludwig HC, Mursch K, Zimmerer B, Markakis E: Elevated cerebral perfusion pressure and low colloid osmotic pressure as a risk factor for subdural space-occupying hygromas? *Surg Neurol* 52(6):630-637, 1999
30. Leitgeb J, Mauritz W, Brazinova A, Janciak I, Majdan M, Wilbacher I, Rusnak M: Outcome after severe brain trauma due to acute subdural hematoma. *J Neurosurg* 117(2):324-333, 2012
31. Marshall LF, Marshall SB, Klauber MR, Van Berkum Clark M, Eisenberg H, Jane JA, Luerksen TG, Marmarou A, Foulkes MA: The diagnosis of head injury requires a classification based on computed axial tomography. *J Neurotrauma* 9(1):S287-292, 1992
32. Mezue WC, Ndubuisi CA: Decompressive craniectomy in the management of traumatic brain injury: A review of current practice. *Open Access Surgery* 8:73-83, 2015
33. Miller JD, Becker DP: Secondary insults to the injured brain. *J R Coll Surg Edinb* 27(5):292-298, 1982
34. Mohammadifard M, Ghaemi K, Hanif H, Sharifzadeh G, Haghparsat M: Marshall and rotterdam computed tomography scores in predicting early deaths after brain trauma. *Eur J Transl Myol* 28(3):7542, 2018
35. Monsivais D, Choi HA, Kitagawa R, Franch M, Cai C: A retrospective analysis of surgical outcomes for acute subdural hematoma in an elderly cohort. *Interdisciplinary Neurosurgery* 14:130-134, 2018

36. Moon JW, Hyun DK: Decompressive craniectomy in traumatic brain injury: A review article. *Korean J Neurotrauma* 13(1):1-8, 2017
37. Mushkudiani NA, Engel DC, Steyerberg EW, Butcher I, Lu J, Marmarou A, Slieker F, McHugh GS, Murray GD, Maas AI: Prognostic value of demographic characteristics in traumatic brain injury: Results from the IMPACT study. *J Neurotrauma* 24(2):259-269, 2007
38. Mutch CA, Talbott JF, Gean A: Imaging evaluation of acute traumatic brain injury. *Neurosurg Clin N Am* 27(4):409-439, 2016
39. Phan K, Moore JM, Griessenauer C, Dmytriw AA, Scherman DB, Sheik-Ali S, Adeeb N, Ogilvy CS, Thomas A, Rosenfeld JV: Craniotomy versus decompressive craniectomy for acute subdural hematoma: Systematic review and meta-analysis, *World Neurosurgery* 101:677-685, 2017
40. Renner C, Hummelsheim H, Kopczak A, Steube D, Schneider HJ, Schneider M, Kreitschmann-Andermahr I, Jordan M, Uhl E, Stalla GK: The influence of gender on the injury severity, course and outcome of traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 26(11): 1360-1371, 2012
41. Rossini Z, Nicolosi F, Kolas AG, Hutchinson PJ, De Sanctis P, Servadei F: The history of decompressive craniectomy in traumatic brain injury. *Front Neurol* 10:458, 2019
42. Sahuquillo J, Arikan F: Decompressive craniectomy for the treatment of refractory high intracranial pressure in traumatic brain injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1:CD003983, 2006
43. Späni CB, Braun DJ, Van Eldik LJ: Sex-related responses after traumatic brain injury: Considerations for preclinical modeling. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 50:52-66, 2018
44. Stiver SI: Complications of decompressive craniectomy for traumatic brain injury. *Neurosurg Focus* 26(6):E7, 2009
45. Stulemeijer M, van der Werf SP, Jacobs B, Biert J, van Vugt AB, Brauer JM, Vos PE: Impact of additional extracranial injuries on outcome after mild traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma* 23(10):1561-1569, 2006
46. Taylor A, Butt W, Rosenfeld J, Shann F, Ditchfield M, Lewis E, Klug G, Wallace D, Henning R, Tibballs J: A randomized trial of very early decompressive craniectomy in children with traumatic brain injury and sustained intracranial hypertension. *Childs Nerv Syst* 17:154-162, 2001
47. Teasdale G, Jennett B: Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. *Lancet* 2(7872):81-84, 1974
48. Teasdale G, Jennett B: Assessment and prognosis of coma after head injury. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 34(1-4):45-55, 1976
49. van Leeuwen N, Lingsma HF, Perel P, Lecky F, Roozenbeek B, Lu J, Shakur H, Weir J, Steyerberg EW, Maas AI; International Mission on Prognosis and Clinical Trial Design in TBI Study Group; Corticosteroid Randomization After Significant Head Injury Trial Collaborators; Trauma Audit and Research Network: Prognostic value of major extracranial injury in traumatic brain injury: An individual patient data meta-analysis in 39,274 patients. *Neurosurgery* 70(4):811-818, 2012
50. Wen L, Wang H, Wang F, Gong JB, Li G, Huang X, Zhan RY, Yang XF: A prospective study of early versus late craniectomy after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 25:1318-1324, 2011
51. Wilberger JE Jr, Harris M, Diamond DL: Acute subdural hematoma: Morbidity, mortality, and operative timing. *J Neurosurg* 74(2):212-218, 1991
52. Woertgen C, Rothoerl RD, Schebesch KM, Albert R: Comparison of craniotomy and craniectomy in patients with acute subdural haematoma. *J Clin Neurosci* 13:718-721, 2006
53. Zhao HX, Liao Y, Xu D, Wang QP, Gan Q, You C, Yang CH: Prospective randomized evaluation of therapeutic decompressive craniectomy in severe traumatic brain injury with mass lesions (PRECIS): Study protocol for a controlled trial. *BMC Neurol* 5(16):1, 2016
54. Yang XF, Wen L, Shen F, Li G, Lou R, Liu WG, Zhan RY: Surgical complications secondary to decompressive craniectomy in patients with a head injury: A series of 108 consecutive cases. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 150(12):1241-1247, 2008