Noninvasive Estimation of Cerebral Perfusion Pressure with Transcranial Doppler Ultrasonography in Traumatic Brain Injury

ABSTRACT

AIM: In traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients, to overcome the secondary insults, cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) oriented therapy is recommended. The study is assigned to estimate CPP values with middle cerebral artery (MCA) flow velocities measured noninvasively using transcranial Doppler ultrasonography (TCD).

MATERIAL and METHODS: Forty-seven TBI patients were studied. Intracranial pressure (ICP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) and MCA flow velocities of the patients were monitored. Invasive CPP was calculated as the difference between MAP and ICP. The formula: 'MAP x FVd/FVm + 14' was used to estimate CPP noninvasively. Correlation of the noninvasive and invasive values were analysed.

RESULTS: The mean values of noninvasive CPP and invasive CPP were 66.10 ± 10.55 mmHg and 65.40 ± 10.03 mmHg respectively. The correlation between noninvasive and invasive CPP measurements was strongly significant (p < 0.001) with a correlation coefficient of r = 0.920.

CONCLUSION: With ICP monitoring systems, CPP is calculated and the therapy is guided according to these values. As it is recognized that brain perfusion can be assessed with TCD waveforms, noninvasive CPP estimation with MCA flow velocities may help to observe the trends in CPP values.
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ÖZ

AMAÇ: Travmatik beyin hasarı sırasında, ikincil hasarın önlenebilmesi için serebral perfüzyon basınıncına yönelik tedaviler önem arz eder. Çalışmamızda, serebral perfüzyon basınıncın, noninvasif olarak transkranial doppler ultrasonografi ile orta serebral arter akım hızı ile hesaplanması için çalışılmıştır.


BULGULAR: Noninvasif ve invaziv serebral perfüzyon basınıncın ölçümleri aralıksız olarak 66.10 ± 10.55 mmHg ve 65.40 ± 10.03 mmHg'dir. Noninvasif ve invaziv serebral perfüzyon basınıncın ölçümleri arasında korelasyon analizi belirgin bir şekilde kuvvetlidir (p < 0.001, korelasyon katsayısı r = 0.920).


ANAHTAR SÖZCÜKLER: Serebral perfüzyon basınıncın, Serebral perfüzyon basınıncın ölçümleri, Transkranial doppler ultrasonografi, Travmatik beyin hasarı
INTRODUCTION

The main management strategy to limit secondary cerebral insults in TBI is cerebral oxygenation. Cerebral oxygenation is followed up with cerebral blood flow (CBF), arterial oxygen content, and cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen consumption. The latter two are not practical in daily practice in neurointensive care units. CPP is the one mostly used as a surrogate of CBF measurements (33,34). In the early management of TBI patients, it is important to guide the therapy and evaluate the outcome according to the ICP and CPP values (17). If autoregulation is preserved, protection against secondary brain insults will be provided (6,12,14,21,29,30). In the assessment of CPP, even though an ICP measurement is needed to calculate CPP as the difference between MAP and ICP, some propose that it is not always adequate and that it may be better to evaluate it on the basis of cerebral blood flow and brain oxygenation (23,27). However, continuous CBF measurement is not easy. At this point, TCD ultrasonography evaluation is recommended. The flow velocity measurements of MCA flow velocity has been proposed as an alternative for cerebral monitoring and several methods have been used to estimate CPP with TCD velocities (1,8,9,10,25,31,33). This study is designed to investigate whether the noninvasive measurement of CPP would help to manage the patients vigorously before the attempts of ICP monitoring.

MATERIAL and METHODS

A prospectively collected records of 47 patients with isolated TBI in neurointensive care unit of Istanbul Göztepe Training Hospital were reviewed and approved by the institutional ethical committee. Inclusion criteria were GCS ≤ 8 in the emergency department and cerebral computed tomographic (CT) scans had to demonstrate findings of brain injury. CT scan results were classified according to the changes seen on CT scan, defined by Marshall et al. (15). Exclusion criteria included death within 24 hours of admission, organ failure or haemodynamic instability. The patients were intubated, sedated, mechanically ventilated and haemodynamic support was performed consequently. The head was elevated 30 degrees upwards. Arterial blood pressure was monitored in all patients by radial artery catheter. MAP was monitored continuously. ICP monitoring was initiated for patients with a GCS ≤ 8, unilateral or bilateral motor posturing, or systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg. A parenchymal catheter (Integra MPM-1) was placed. ICP was measured and recorded continuously in a software data. CPP was calculated from the measurement of CPP would help to manage the patients vigorously before the attempts of ICP monitoring.

The daily TCD measurements were conducted transtemporally using a traditional 2 MHz transducer (DWL) with mechanically fixed in place probe holders and were recorded continuously. The depth and angle of insonation giving the highest mean flow velocity was chosen. Mean (mFV), diastolic (dFV) and systolic flow velocities were recorded. TCD monitoring was performed as long as the ICP monitoring continued. The measurements were recorded at the same time in the individual patient in the first 24 hours. The control of elevated ICP (> 20 mmHg) was managed according to the guidelines of Brain Trauma Foundation (BTF) (29). MAP of 90 mmHg, CPP 60-70 mmHg, ICP < 20 mmHg, central venous pressure 5-10 mmHg and SaO2 > 95 %, PaCO2 of 30 – 35 mmHg and temperature between 35°-37° C were targeted. Prophylactic hyperventilation was not performed. Decreases of MAP which would reduce the CPP values were managed with colloid, normal saline or inotropic agents. Noninvasive CPP (eCPP) was calculated according to the following formula: eCPP = MAP x dFV / mFV + 14 (23).

The statistical analysis was performed in SPSS 15.0. For Windows for data processing and analysis. The definitive analysis was used for mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum variables. Pearson’s Correlation Analysis (r) for correlations. The statistical significance of the correlation between the investigated quantitative variables was achieved by the p level and the significance level was recorded as p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Forty seven TBI patients 37 male and 10 female, with a mean age of 34.14 ± 19.45 and mean GCS of 7.17 ± 3.55 were included in the study. Sixteen traumatic subarachnoid haematoma, 10 contusional haematoma, 7 diffuse oedema, 6 epidural haematoma, 5 diffuse axonal injury and 3 subdural haematoma patients were within the study group. The mean value of MAP ranged between 68-117 mmHg (73.64). The mean value of noninvasive estimation of left and right CPP values was 66.10 ± 10.55 mmHg. The mean value of invasive CPP was 64.40 ± 10.03 mmHg (Table I). The correlation between noninvasive and invasive CPP measurements was strongly significant (p < 0.0001) with a correlation coefficient of r = 0.920 (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

The measurement and management of both ICP and CPP are recommended in TBI patients. A correlation has been demonstrated between noninvasive TCD measurements and invasively obtained ICP and CPP values (17). However, ICP monitoring is invasive and has disadvantages of infection, haemorrhage, malfunction, obstruction or malposition. Thus, TCD has been proposed as a safe technique with no reports of technique-related complications and to assess brain perfusion noninvasively. The device is of potential benefit for intermittent or continuous monitoring of brain perfusion in the situations where the direct measurement is not available or its reliability is in question (20, 22, 24,25). Independent of the type of intracranial pathology, a strong correlation between PI and ICP is demonstrated. Therefore, PI may be
of guiding value in the invasive ICP placement decision in the neurointensive care patient. Continuous waveform analysis of MCA FV and CPP correlates with coma score after resuscitation and outcome and hence may be considered as a robust method for the assessment of autoregulation in ventilated head trauma patients.

CPP is estimated as the difference between inflow (MAP) and outflow (ICP). ICP measurements have a limited value as catheters are placed in one hemisphere and drift of ICP transducers as a source of misinterpretation. In addition, MAP measured from peripheral arteries is not always the same with basal arteries. Thus, it is proposed that perfusion is better presented by CBF rather than numerical values (23). However, the bedside continuous monitoring of CBF is not so easy. It is pointed out that increase in TCD pulsatility, decrease in diastolic velocity or a decrease in the ratio of diastolic to mean flow velocity will demonstrate the problem in cerebral perfusion (5,7,13,19,35).

Aaslid et al. have determined CPP with TCD parameters using the formula $eCPP = FVm \times A_1 / F_1$ ($F_1$ = amplitude of the fundamental frequency components of flow velocity and $A_1$ = amplitude of the fundamental frequency components of arterial pressure), the fundamental frequency is determined by fast Fourier analysis of the waveform and is equivalent to the heart rate. Ten patients with supratentorial hydrocephalus have been studied and changes in estimated CPP have strongly correlated with the calculated CPP changes (1).

According to the Starling’s resistor phenomenon at the level of cerebral veins, ICP is thought to represent the effective downstream pressure related to systolic, diastolic, mean arterial pressures. The main principle is that, perfusion pressure equals the product of flow and resistance. In Weyland’s study, it was shown that in the absence of intracranial hypertension, ZFP was not the same value with ICP related to vascular wall tension, however, Buhre et al. in their study showed that there was a correlation observed between ZFP and ICP in patients with intracranial hypertension (4,32).

Belfort et al. studied the change in estimated CPP treated with nimodipine and magnesium sulfate in patients with preeclampsia. The formula used was: $eCPP = V_{mean} \times (MAP - DAB) / V_{mean} - V_{diast}$ . In intergroup comparisons, there were significant differences in estimated CPP values; as an increase after nimodipine and decrease after magnesium sulfate infusions (3). The reports performed by Stacey et al and Hancock et al. suggest that the results of Belfort’s formula are not related to changes in ICP or systemic vascular resistance or changes in end tidal carbon dioxide (11,26).

Edouard et al. used the same formula with Belfort to estimate CPP values in 20 TBI patients. Ten patients under stable conditions and the other ten patients under CO$_2$ reactivity test were compared. In the stable group, measured and estimated CPP values correlated, in the other group with increased ICP values due to CO$_2$ reactivity test performed, a significant correlation was also found (10).

Steiner et al. say that the behavior of diastolic velocity becomes more accurate in cases of high ICP. In patients with a normal ICP, MAP is the best estimator of CPP. Methods that estimate CPP as a fraction of MAP derived from the transcranial waveform is more accurate. Using the distance between mean and diastolic values for blood pressure weakens the estimation of CPP (28).

In the present study, it was investigated whether the CPP measured with noninvasive technique could be reliable to predict the calculated value of the invasive method. The results of the comparison between noninvasive and invasive CPP presented a close linear correlation in the whole group with a correlation coefficient of 0.920 (p < 0.001) (Figure 1).

In this study, cerebral perfusion is assessed with TCD waveforms, from the point of view that diastolic and mean flow velocities reliably indicate the brain perfusion. The mean values of the flow velocities were taken into consideration. The main limitation of this study is that the sample size is small and variability of interpersonal and interdevice performances are to be considered. Even though the methods proposed recently are of limited accuracy, a strong correlation was observed between the noninvasive and invasive values with the formula presented with Schmidt et al. (23). They have studied 25 patients under a treatment protocol targeting CPP above 70 mmHg, monitored ICP and MCA blood flow velocities with a prototype bilateral transcranial Doppler machine built in algorithm to assess CPP. Time averaged

![Figure 1: Correlation of invasive and noninvasive CPP values (n=47) (r=0.920) (p<0.001).](Image)
values of flow velocities were calculated and put in a formula: 
\[ eCPP = \frac{MAP \times dFV}{mFV} + 14' \]
Absolute difference between the noninvasive and invasive measurements was less than 10 mmHg in 89% of the measurements and less than 13 mmHg in 92%. In side to side differences, the estimated CPP values were higher in swollen hemisphere as established with CT images (23).

It is suggested that limited data of several methods although not accurate to estimate absolute ICP, may help to assess the changes in CPP and ZFP (18). However, critical appraisal of different methods, in particular of the noninvasive methods, and the relative advantages of one method over the other in a given situation, is still needed. It is difficult to judge which method of CPP evaluation is better.

However, even though the invasive ICP monitoring may not be replaced with noninvasive methods, a real-time assessment of CPP with a noninvasive method may help to observe the baseline value and follow the trend of CPP whether there is a decline or incline and help to manage intensity of the therapy in a TBI patient.
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