Intradural Disc Herniation - A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

Intradural disc herniation is a rare entity that occurs most commonly in the lumbar spine particularly at L4-L5 region. Most often it is diagnosed intraoperatively in a case of simple intervertebral disc herniation. Contrast enhanced MRI is mandatory for preoperative diagnosis. We describe a case of a 40-year-old female who presented to us with pain lower back, pain in both lower limbs and urinary retention after a fall. She had previously been diagnosed as a case of prolapsed intervertebral disc disease and was being managed conservatively. An unenhanced MRI revealed a large disc herniation at L4-L5 level. L4 and L5 laminectomy was performed and a hard intradural mass was palpable. On opening the dura a fragment of intervertebral disc was found with a small rent in the anterior dura. The fragment was removed and the rent was sutured. The patient recovered well from the surgery. Intradural disc herniations must be considered in the differential diagnosis of prolapsed intervertebral disc disease especially with recent worsening of symptoms and mismatch of unenhanced MRI findings with intraoperative findings.
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INTRODUCTION

Lumbar intradural disc herniation was first described by Dandy in 1942 and comprises about 0.3% of lumbar disc protrusions. It is uncommon but has been well described in a limited number of case reports. Intradural disc herniations [IDH] comprise 0.26-0.30% of all herniated discs. The preoperative diagnosis of IDH is still difficult, and requires contrast enhanced MRI of the spine. The importance of IDH lies in fact that most patients such patients are diagnosed as intervertebral disc disease and therefore the work up of these patients lacks contrast enhanced MRI. This leads to a situation where the finding of intradural disc comes as a surprise for the unprepared surgeon. Proper awareness of prevalence, symptoms, pathogenesis and appropriate investigations helps the surgeon reach a diagnosis preoperatively and hence be prepared.

CASE REPORT

A 40-year-old female presented to us with history of fall on stairs and pain lower back radiating to both lower limbs. She had developed urinary retention after the fall and had been catheterized at a local clinic. On examination the patient had severe pain lower back radiating to both lower limbs more so on the left side and SLR was 30 degrees in both lower limbs, and she also had bilateral weakness of foot dorsiflexion. An unenhanced MRI of the lumbar spine revealed large paracentral disc herniation with bilateral effacement of nerve roots at L4-L5 level. Intraoperatively L4 and L5 laminectomy was performed and patient was found to have bilateral severe compression of nerve roots at L4-L5 level more so on the left side. A hard intradural mass was palpable and decision was made to open the dura and explore the cord. On opening the dura a 1* 2 cm fragment of intervertebral disc was seen in the spinal canal displacing the nerve roots peripherally. The fragment was excised and a small rent noted on the anterior dura that was sutured. Dura closed primarily and nerve root decompression ensured. Post operatively patient recovered smoothly and bladder sensations started developing after four days.

DISCUSSION

Intradural disc herniation [IDH] is a rare event and is frequently associated with symptoms worse than the regular lumbar
Approximately 123 cases of IDH have been reported since 1942 (3). The majority of them occurred at the L4-L5 levels and only 12 cases occurred at L5-S1. In all, 5% are found in the thoracic region, 3% in the cervical region and 92% in the lumbar region (3,4). The site most frequently affected is L4-5 (55%), followed by L3-4 (16%) and L5-S1 (10%) (1). Rarely,
ruptured disc fragment may migrate intrathecal or may perforate the radicular sheath, leading to intradural disc (13, 10). The intradural type seems to be more frequently associated with previous surgery (14). Migration of the disc nucleus pulposus in the intradural site requires perforation of the annulus fibrosus, the posterior longitudinal ligament and the dura mater (6). The explanation of the dural perforation by the disc herniation is not clear though several reasons are known that may contribute like congenital narrowing of the spinal canal with less epidural space, adhesions between the annulus fibrosus, posterior longitudinal ligament, duramater, congenital and iatrogenic fineness of the dura mater (3, 1, 11). Blikra demonstrated presence of firm anatomic adhesions between the anterior wall of the dural sac and the posterior longitudinal ligament, particularly at the L4-L5 level (2). Thus in case of dural perforation, the herniated disc would perforate the annulus fibrosus, the PLL and the dura mater as if they were one structure. The existence of such an anatomic situation at has not been proven at other sites of lumbar disc levels. This can explain the anterior intradural herniation at L4-L5 but not the lateral or other levels.

Imaging of IDH requires contrast enhanced MRI (3). Unfortunately this is not routinely advised in patients presenting with back pain and radiculopathy. Contrast-enhanced MRI scans are essential both to diagnose and to differentiate a herniated disc from a disc space infection or tumor (5). The enhancement pattern of the intradural disc fragment is variable. Peripheral enhancement around the disc fragment is commonly seen on contrast MRI. A herniated disc fragment will rarely be enhanced centrally, which is attributed to vascular granulation tissue infiltrating the fragment (3). Some show intensely enhancing intradural disc fragment which might correlate with invasion of disc fragment with granulation tissue and reactive endothelial cells (14, 12). Intradural disc herniation is quite often a chronic pathology, and the disc enhances because of the chronic granulation tissue (3). Acute cases of IDH can pose problem because there is no granulation tissue hence no enhancement.

The MRI finding of an intradural lesion raises several diagnostic doubts that must be considered and resolved with differential diagnosis, which includes neurinoma, meningioma, ependymoma, and dermoid. Neurinoma and meningioma both have homogeneous enhancement and clearly different from the ring enhancement of intradural herniations. An important differential diagnosis is posterior epidural migration of herniated disc fragment which may also have a ring enhancing pattern on contrast enhanced MRI (9). In the myelographic examination, intradural disc herniations of the lumbar region usually show as a complete block.

The treatment of IDH basically involves surgical removal of ruptured disc material. At surgery, the dura and root must be carefully explored. IDH needs to be recognized and treated appropriately at the time of the initial operation to prevent the development of the back failure (8, 7). Cauda equina syndrome and sphincter disease have an incidence of 30% of all reported cases, as in our case (3). However, there may be cases where the disc protrudes intradurally to compress a single root and show single only of root compression. Intradural disc herniation above the conus medullaris seems to bring on neurologic dysfunctions more rapidly (8).

**CONCLUSION**

Intradural disc herniation is a rare variant of a very common disease that merits mention because of the need of awareness of its existence. The diagnosis is commonly an intraoperative surprise because contrast MRI is not routinely advised in evaluation of radicular pain. Intradural disc protrusion might result in failure of lumbar disc surgery. Therefore the intervertebral foramina and roots must be carefully explored in every case of lumbar disc herniation. Every neurosurgeon involved in spinal surgery must be aware of this rare pathology which, when overseen during the intervention, could have disastrous consequences for the patient.

**REFERENCES**