Timing of Surgery for Spinal Fractures Associated with Systemic Trauma: A Need for a Strategic and Systemic Approach
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ABSTRACT

AIM: An underestimated evaluation of systemic organs in cases with spinal fractures might jeopardize the intervention for treatment and future complications with an increased morbidity and mortality are almost warranted. In the present study, a retrospective analysis of spinal fracture cases associated with systemic trauma was performed to assess surgical success.

MATERIAL and METHODS: A retrospective analysis of patients with thoracolumbar fractures who were admitted to the emergency unit between September 2012 and September 2014 was used for the study. The cases were categorized according to age, sex, reason of trauma, associated trauma, neurological condition and treatment details and results were analysed using SPSS 14.0 for Windows.

RESULTS: The most common reason of trauma is detected as falls in 101 cases (64.3%). Radiological evaluation of spinal fractures revealed a compression fracture in 106 cases (67.5%) and other fractures in 51 cases (32.5%). Surgical treatment for spinal fracture was performed in 60.5% of the cases and conservative approach was preferred in 39.5% cases. In non-compressive spinal fractures, an associated pathology like head trauma, lower extremity fracture or neurological deficit was found to be higher in incidence (p<0.05). Necessity for surgical intervention was found to be more prominent in this group (p<0.05). However, the fracture type was not found to be associated with morbidity and mortality (p<0.05).

CONCLUSION: A surgical intervention for a spinal fracture necessitating surgery should rather be performed right after stabilization of the systemic condition which might be associated with decreased morbidity and mortality.
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INTRODUCTION

Improved use of technology has resulted in an increased number of motor vehicles and higher skyscrapers which probably have a role in increased severity of trauma (26). It is well known that the spinal fractures are associated with high-energy trauma (7) and an increased incidence in systemic and spinal trauma is not striking. Dislocations and burst fractures of the thoracolumbar region are associated with high energy trauma and osteoporotic fractures of the regarding region might develop even after a low energy trauma (22, 25).
Spinal fractures develop after high-energy forces in diverse directions and injury of other body systems might almost be inevitable (7). Thus clinical situation involving other systems is overwhelmingly important in the selection of treatment approach. Distinctive factors in the treatment of traumatic spinal fractures can be defined as the presence of neurological deficit and progression of the regarding injury (7). It is widely accepted that a relatively urgent surgical approach might be associated with decreased risk of complications regarding immobility (5, 13, 22). On the other hand, preservation of critical life measures after systemic trauma aids in balanced systemic status and diminished risk of successive interventions. In the present study, plan and timing of surgical intervention were studied after admission to emergency wards in patients with systemic trauma and spinal fracture.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

A total of 157 patients who were admitted to Ankara Numune and Ankara Education and Research Hospitals between September 2012 and September 2014 were retrospectively analyzed for systemic trauma and thoracolumbar fractures. Neurological condition at the time of diagnosis and detailed radiological analyses were thoroughly evaluated for the study. According to the multi-trauma protocol at the emergency wards of our center, radiological scans of head, thorax, abdomen and major extremities were performed. The cases were categorized according to age, sex, reason of trauma, associated trauma, fracture type, neurological condition and treatment details and results were statistically analyzed. Patients who have minor fractures including transverse and spinous process fractures were all excluded from the study.

Vital functions including blood pressure, blood hemoglobin and oxygen levels were all evaluated in patients presenting with systemic trauma. Patients with deteriorated functions due to associated pathologies like brain or lung contusion, abdominal injury, pelvis or long extremity fractures were meticulously evaluated to attain a stable level of vital signs.

Systemic influence of all regarding pathologies were corrected before surgical intervention and patients were operated after detailed radiological studies. Computed tomography (CT) and direct X-rays were evaluated for McCormack score and posterior stabilization was preferred for patients with a score between 4 and 6. Patients with a score of 7 or over were operated by posterior stabilization and anterior fusion technique. Patients with a score of 5 or more on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in accordance with the thoracolumbar injury classification and severity (TLICS) score were subjected to surgical treatment whereas patients with a score of 3 or less were treated with conservative measures. Patients with a score of 4 were subjected to conservative or surgical measures depending on other scores and associated systemic injury.

All data were evaluated with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 19.0 for Windows. Numerical variables were further analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to check normal distribution. Descriptive statistics were defined as mean±SD (standard deviation) and categorical variables were shown as number of cases (n) and percentages (%). Categorical variables were analyzed with chi square test. P values below 0.05 (p<0.05) were accepted as significant.

**RESULTS**

Mean age of patients included into the study was calculated as 47.7±16.9 years. 94 cases were male (59.9%) and 63 (40.1%) were female. The most common cause of trauma was detected as falls from a height in 64.3% of cases. Motor vehicle accidents were the second common etiological factor with 38 cases (24.2%). Number of work accidents was 18 (11.5%) and they form the third common cause of spinal fractures. Number of cases with compression fractures was 106 (67.5%) and patients with non-compressive fractures were 51 (32.5%). Sixty-five cases (41.4%) were reported to have an associated injury necessitating surgical intervention and lower extremity fracture (25 cases, 15.9%) was found to be most prominent. Neurological deficit was noted in 11 cases (19.6%) and 60.5 percent of cases were treated with surgical measures. Mortality and morbidity rates were found as 1.3 and 1.9% respectively (Table I).

One patient from compression trauma group was lost due to pulmonary embolus and one patient from non-compressive trauma group died because of cerebrovascular occlusive event (Both patients had lower extremity fractures).

Systemic functions (blood pressure, blood oxygen saturation and hemoglobin levels etc.) were corrected primarily. Associated injuries such as head trauma, lung contusion, abdominal injury, pelvis and extremity fractures (65 cases, 41.4%) were stabilized before a surgical intervention. Patients having systemic trauma (34 cases, 21.6%) were operated in an elective urgent basis.

Strategy and indication of surgical intervention was determined according to the McCormack score and TLICS classification. 53 patients (50%) with a McCormack score between 4 and 6 and TLICS score of 5 or over were operated using posterior stabilization. Fifty-one patients with a non-compressive fracture were evaluated with the same scoring system and 42 cases (82.4%) were operated using posterior stabilization. Six patients with a McCormack score of 7 or over were operated by posterior stabilization and anterior fixation. Reasons of morbidity were lower extremity motor deficit after posterior stabilization in one and peroneal-posterior tibial nerve at the postoperative period of lower extremity fracture surgery in another case.

There was no statistical correlation between fracture type and type of injury (p>0.05). Associated injuries and neurological deficit were found to be more prominent in non-compressive fractures (p<0.05). Surgery was required more in non-compressive fractures (p<0.05) nevertheless there was no statistical correlation with fracture type, mortality and morbidity (Table II) (p>0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite the presence of protective anatomic structures, interfacet connections preserving joint stability, thoracolumbar...
The national studies performed at our Center (NSCISC). The national studies performed at our country were compatible with results of NSCISC and majority of patients were male (19,21,26). In the present study, mean age was calculated as 47.7 and 59.9% of patients were male. The main reasons might be relatively more active physical performance of males within the social life and increased use of motor vehicles.

Ghobrial et al. reported that burst fractures constitute as high as 45% of all thoracolumbar fractures (13). Another study by Been and Bouma reported a rate of 49% (4). In the present study, the compression fracture rate was significantly higher than burst fracture. The main reasons might be relatively high proportion of work accidents and motor vehicle injuries. It should be noted that the energy produced at work accidents and motor vehicle injuries is lower when compared to falls from a height. Furthermore the angle of force is horizontal and ends in wedge fractures according to our belief. On the other hand, the angle of force in falls from a height is vertical which easily give rise to burst fractures.

Many studies regarding spinal cord trauma demonstrated that motor vehicle accidents are the most frequent reason followed by falls from a height and other reasons (16,20,24). Been and Bouma reported falls from a height as the most common reason of thoracolumbar fractures (4). In another study, motor vehicle accidents were found to be most frequent reason of thoracolumbar fractures (19). Ucar et al. reported falls from a height to be most common (23). In the present study, falls from a height was found to be most frequent and to our belief the main reasons are insufficient laws regulating the safety at work, increased suicidal attempts within the last decade and traditional habit of sleeping at roof in some rural areas of Turkey. Burst fractures form the major group among falls from a height in the present study and this might be due to relatively higher energy exposure at a fall from a height.

An associated injury was reported in almost half of the thoracolumbar fractures (1,17,26). Saboe et al. reported that the most frequently associated trauma is head injury and the incidence of extremity trauma is 23% (17). In another study, the incidence of pelvic and lower extremity fracture was found to be highest due to the high energy of lumbar fractures (18). In the present study, the compression fracture rate was significantly higher than burst fracture. The main reasons might be relatively high energy exposure at a fall from a height.
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was the body of vertebrae (7). In our study, neurological deficit risk was relatively high due to hematoma, edema, increased vascular injury risk and spinal cord injury due to separated fragments and a high energy is undoubtedly associated with non-compressive fractures. Surgical treatment is preferred instead of conservative management since a spinal column alignment, stabilization and an ideal canal width might be provided earlier (7,14). Partial neurological injury, progressive neurological deficit and spinal canal stenosis necessitates an immediate surgical intervention in lumbar fractures (7). However neurological improvement was not confirmed with a surgical correction (19). In the present study, surgery was most likely preferred in burst fractures due to higher incidence of associated neurological injury and separated bone fragments compromising spinal canal. An associated high energy in these fractures might raise doubt for a progressive neurological deficit hence an increased tendency for surgical correction.

Despite the improvements in design of vehicles, recovery of safety precautions and more advanced first aid equipments, the mortality rate due to spinal cord injuries is still as high as 16%. A significant decline of mortality rate within the last few decades should not be denied. The reasons of mortality are usually due to associated trauma and secondary infections (9-11,16,22). Ghobrial et al. also pointed to the importance of relation between associated trauma incidence and increased risk of mortality. Their morbidity rate was 4.35 % and compatible with the reported incidences in the literature (13). The morbidity rate reported in the present study was compatible with the results of the literature and the mortality rate was relatively low. The main reasons might be a strategy of patient admission after correction of vital signs and exclusion and correction of associated trauma. The reported rate in the literature might be associated not only with thoracolumbar trauma but also with delays in access to the hospital. Time delays in transfer or massive bleeding at transfer or accident location further influence this rate. A high mortality rate due to pulmonary emboli and cerebrovascular accidents supports our theory that mortality rate is highest before admission to the medical center.

The results above showed that there was no correlation between fracture type and morbidity or mortality rates. In conclusion, incidence of neurological deficit and an associated systemic pathology was found to be highest in non-compressive fractures due to high energy trauma verifying a more pronounced benefit from an earlier surgical intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture type</th>
<th>Compressive n:106 n (%)</th>
<th>Non-compressive n:51 n (%)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle accidents</td>
<td>29 (27.3)</td>
<td>9 (17.6)</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work accidents</td>
<td>13 (12.3)</td>
<td>5 (9.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls from a height</td>
<td>64 (60.4)</td>
<td>37 (72.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated Injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>6 (11.8)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>10 (9.4)</td>
<td>5 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>12 (11.3)</td>
<td>7 (13.7)</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremity</td>
<td>10 (9.4)</td>
<td>6 (11.8)</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>4 (3.8)</td>
<td>5 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower extremity</td>
<td>12 (11.3)</td>
<td>13 (25.5)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological deficit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>53 (50.0)</td>
<td>42 (82.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>53 (50.0)</td>
<td>9 (17.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exitus</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbidity</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>104 (98.0)</td>
<td>48 (94.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: The Relation Between Fracture Type and Characteristics of Injury
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