Use of Muscle Graft versus Fascia Flap as Interpositional Material in Prevention of Reossification in a Rat Model of Skull Bone Defect
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To investigate the utility of two different interpositional materials (muscle graft vs. fascia flap) for preventing the osseous reunion of skull bone defect including the coronal suture line in rats.

MATERIAL and METHODS: A total of 32 male Sprague-Dawley rats were divided into 2 groups (n=16 for each) after the formation of bilateral coronal bone defect, based on the interpositional materials used to prevent re-ossification; the rats were divided into the muscle graft (MG) group and the fascial flap (FF) group. In each group, the other side of the coronal suture served as the control. The rats were sacrificed at postoperative 4 weeks or 8 weeks for histopathological, radiological, and microbiologic investigations.

RESULTS: At postoperative 8 weeks, there was partial reunion in the defects with bony tissue in both the groups; no obvious differences were noted between the groups on radiological examination. The defect content involved bone and fibrous tissue in the MG group and bony bridges and loose connective tissue in the FF group. New bone formation was moderate, marked, and extreme and the reduction in defect size was marked, moderate, and extreme in the MG, FF, and control groups, respectively.

CONCLUSION: Our findings revealed that neither the temporal MG nor the temporal FF were able to achieve complete prevention of re-ossification of the skull bone defects including the coronal suture line; further, neither material was superior to the other.
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INTRODUCTION

Achievement of re-ossification is the main goal of treatment in most clinical conditions with bone defects, especially in bone surgery. However, the prevention of new bone formation is critical in certain clinical settings, such as premature epiphyseal fusion of long bones (10,11), and after the craniosynostosis surgery (5,14,16).

Suboptimal treatment of craniosynostosis increases the risk of aesthetic deformities in later life, while re-operation after primary craniosynostosis surgery may also be required in cases of recurrence of craniofacial deformity with secondary coronal synostoses or craniofacial disproportion (3,7,9,18,19).

Therefore, certain surgical techniques, such as covering of the craniectomy margins with a plastic film (8), and dural split (17), have been described in the initial studies to prevent early re-ossification at the sutursectomy site after craniosynostosis surgery. Thereafter, the placement of various types of absorbable and non-absorbable materials between the bone edges as interpositional materials has been investigated in terms of their potential role in preventing early re-ossification as a useful adjunct for the treatment of craniosynostosis (1,2,4,6,13,15). These materials include polyethylene films, pericranial grafts, interpositioned biodegradable polyglycolic acid (PGA) membrane, interposed silicon membrane or expanded polytet...
raftluoroethylene (ePTFE) membrane as a barrier to calvarial reclosure, as well as dura and muscle graft (MG), and free fat tissue transplants (1,2,4,6,13,15). Polyethylene films are non-biological materials, and pericranial grafts have potential osteogenic capacity. The use of free fat tissue transplants has been considered useful not only for correcting premature epiphyseal fusion of long bones by preventing re-ossification after bone bridge resection, but also for preventing osseous reunion of the calvarial bone defects (6,13,15). However, early re-ossification at the suturectomy site after craniosynostosis surgery remains challenging given the lack of convincing scientific evidence obtained for any material (1,2,4).

This study was designed to investigate the utility of two different interpositional materials (MG vs. fascia flap) in the prevention of osseous reunion of skull bone defect, including the coronal suture line in rats.

# MATERIAL and METHODS

## Animals

Total 32 male Sprague-Dawley rats (body weight 300–350 grams) were kept in a light- and temperature-controlled room with a 12-hour light-dark cycle at a temperature of 22°C ± 0.5°C and relative humidity of 45.0% ± 10.0%. The animals were fed standard rat pellets and provided with water ad libitum. The study was approved by the Scientific and Ethics Committee of Istanbul University Istanbul Faculty of Medicine Experimental Animals Research and Application Center.

## Study Protocol

The rats were separated into the MG group and the fascial flap (FF) group (n=16 for each) after the formation of bilateral coronal bone defects, as per the interpositional materials used for preventing re-ossification. In each group, the gap on one randomly chosen side was filled with interpositional material, while the other side of the coronal suture was left open to serve as a control. Half of the rats in each group were sacrificed 4 weeks postoperatively, while the remaining half were sacrificed 8 weeks postoperatively for histopathological, radiological, and microbiologic investigations. The specimens were also examined macroscopically.

## Skull Bone Defect Including the Coronal Suture Line

The surgeries were performed under the intraperitoneal ketamine hydrochloride (Ketalar flacon; Pfizer Inc., Istanbul, Turkey) anesthesia. A sagittal incision was performed to expose not only the coronal suture, but also the temporal muscle and parietal bones (Figure 1A). Under “×4” magnification, a 2-mm gap was made using a fine-tipped dental bur inserted through the right and left coronal sutures of the rats (Figure 1B). The dura was preserved during the operation. The field was meticulously irrigated with saline solution to clean the bony particles.

## Interpositional Materials

In the MG group, the gap on one randomly chosen side of the coronal suture was filled with a MG obtained from the temporal muscle (Figure 2A). The other side of the coronal suture was left without interpositional material to act as the control. In the FF group, the defect on one randomly chosen side of the coronal suture was filled with a pedicled temporal FF (Figure 2B). The other side was left untreated and used as the control.

## Histopathological Analyses

Tissue samples collected for histopathological analyses were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin for serial sectioning. Longitudinal 5-μm sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and examined under a light microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE 80i, Japan, x200 augmentation) to identify the defect content and evaluate new bone formation, inflammatory cell infiltration, necrosis, and decrease in defect size based on a scale [none, mild (+), moderate (++), marked (+++), and extreme (++++)].

## Radiology and Tissue Cultures

For radiologic examinations, CT was used to detect any changes in the calvarial specimens. Cultures were performed on samples taken from each animal to reveal any infections.

---

Figure 1: A) Coronal suture in a rat. B) Formation of bilateral skull bone defects including the coronal suture line.
RESULTS

Macroscopic Findings
At postoperative week 4, macroscopic observation of the MG and FF groups showed that the defects were filled with whitish fibrous tissue, and there was no reunion.

At postoperative week 8, the defects were filled with a bony fibrous tissue in the control sides, while no sign of reunion was observed in the MG and FF groups.

Microbiological Findings
There was no bacterial growth in the cultures obtained at postoperative week 4 and 8 in any group.

Radiological Findings
At postoperative week 4, there was no re-ossification, and the defect size had remained unchanged at 2 mm in both the groups; however, there was partial re-ossification and closure of the defects in the control group.

At postoperative week 8, the defects were fully re-ossified and had disappeared in the control groups. However, in the MG and FF groups, there was partial reunion in the defects with bony tissue, and radiological examination showed no obvious differences between the two groups.

Histopathological Findings
The results of the histopathology examination are depicted in detail in Table I. At the assessment performed after 8 weeks of the surgery, the defect content involved the bone and the fibrous tissue in the MG group, bony bridges and loose connective tissue in the FF group, and bone and mixed connective tissue in the control group. At the 4th week, new bone formation was absent in the MG and FF groups, while there was moderate formation in the control group. At postoperative week 8, new bone formation was moderate, marked, and extreme, and decrease in defect size was marked, moderate, and extreme in the MG, FF, and control groups, respectively (Table I, Figure 3).

DISCUSSION
Our findings at postoperative 8-week revealed that although no sign of reunion was evident macroscopically with the use of MG or FF as compared with the defects left untreated that were filled with bony fibrous tissue. Partial reunion in the defects with bony tissue was evident in both interpositional material groups on radiological examination. In addition, histopathological analyses revealed that the defect content involved the bone and fibrous tissue in the MG group and bony bridges and loose connective tissue in the FF group along with

Figure 2: A) Muscle graft on the right side. B) Temporal fascia flap on the right side.
suture in rats (12). Authors have reported predictable osseous healing including the formation of a sagittal suture to be accomplished in craniectomy defects with GTR in association with undisturbed cranial growth, while the treatment of the defects with DBM alone or DBM combined with GTR resulted in craniosynostosis and reduced cranial growth (12).

In our study, while the use of MG or FF as interpositional materials for skull bone defects including the coronal suture line seems to be a more advantageous technique when compared to no treatment in terms of a lower degree of new bone formation and defect closure (moderate-to-marked vs. extreme). Neither material appeared to achieve complete prevention of re-ossification, and both delayed the decrease in defect size but could not stop re-ossification.

The interpositional materials used in the current study to prevent re-ossification were autogenous materials derived from the MG and the FF that are in close proximity to the primary operative field and can be similarly used in synostosis cases in humans because of this advantage and lack of aesthetic or functional deficit problems in the patient following their preparation. Moreover, the temporal muscle and temporal fascia are easy-to-access anatomic structures with rich blood supply that are frequently used in craniofacial reconstruction.
Blood supply from the deep and superficial temporal artery enables the preparation of the axial and free muscle, muscle plus fascial, or FFs. However, our findings have revealed failure of muscle or FF per se in preventing re-ossification; therefore, their use for therapeutic purposes does not seem rational unless combined with other methods. Nonetheless, the use of a FF to surround non-autogenous materials that are to be placed into the defect may be a new model for studies on the prevention of reunion. Hence, our findings indicate the success of neither MG nor FF for preventing reunion, each of which otherwise would be an ideal alternative as an interpositional material with close proximity to the primary operative field and lack of subsequent aesthetic and functional deficit risk.

Early re-ossification at the suturectomy site after craniosynostosis surgery is a challenge for neurosurgeons, with lack of convincing evidence in the favor of any material (1,2,4). Hence, by providing data on two interpositional materials that, to our knowledge, have not been studied or compared, our findings are a valuable contribution to the current literature regarding the development of an ideal method for preventing reunion after craniosynostosis in neurosurgery.

■ CONCLUSION

Our findings revealed that neither the temporal MG nor the temporal FF could achieve complete prevention of re-ossification of the skull bone defects including the coronal suture line with no superiority of one material over the other. Although both the materials enabled lesser degree of new bone formation and delayed defect closure than that in control defects that were left untreated, they could not prevent the re-ossification completely. Hence, the outcomes were insufficient to justify the use of MG or FF as alternative interpositional materials for preventing there union of skull defects in rats. A deeper understanding about the regulation of a complex array of factors that underlie the maintenance of suture patency and dynamics of membranous bone growth at the suture may help develop optimal interpositional materials in the future as useful adjuncts in the treatment of craniosynostosis.
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