Analyses of Copy Number Variations in Myxopapillary Ependymomas of Cauda Equina

ABSTRACT

AIM: To identify the copy number variations that are specific to myxopapillary ependymomas (MPEs) of the cauda equina.

MATERIAL and METHODS: The patient cohort included five patients who underwent resection of histologically confirmed MPEs. Tumor samples collected during surgery and stored in liquid nitrogen as well as corresponding blood samples collected were analyzed. Genomic DNA from the venous blood and tumor samples was obtained using standard techniques and hybridized to a Cytoscan 750K Array in accordance with the manufacturer's introductions.

RESULTS: As a novel finding, amplification on chromosome 14q32.33 was detected in all tumor and blood samples, except one tumor sample. All tumor tissues also showed amplification on chromosomes 5, 7, 9, and 16.

CONCLUSION: Although further studies with larger cohorts are required to identify genes involved in MPE tumorigenesis and to validate our results, these findings provide a basis for advanced molecular biological and genetic studies of MPEs.
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INTRODUCTION

Ependymomas are primary tumors of the central nervous system that originate from the walls of the cerebral ventricles and the spinal cord canal. Although the histological features are similar, ependymomas exhibit highly variable clinical behaviors possibly due to genetic heterogeneity; therefore, these tumors are divided into five different subtypes (5).

A myxopapillary ependymoma (MPE), with distinct histological features, arises in specific regions of the spine, including the conus medullaris, cauda equina, or filum terminale (4). Although classified as a grade I tumor, an MPE is associated with distant metastases, subarachnoid dissemination, and late recurrences, particularly in the pediatric population (16).

A copy number variation, which is a cell-owned genomic structural number variation, is one of the causes of genetic diversity as well as tumorigenesis. Copy number variations results in an abnormal gene copy number in the cell via amplification and deletion events. Such changes cause several alterations in genomic segment numbers in tumor cells, which is an important structural change that plays an important role in tumorigenesis (13).
To identify viable drug targets and predict biological behaviors, elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying the development of ependymomas is crucial. Therefore, for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, we aimed to assess copy number variations in five histologically confirmed cases of MPE by analyzing blood and tumor samples.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

The study protocol was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Marmara University Faculty (approval no. 09.2016.147) and was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Patient Selection**

The study cohort included five patients who underwent surgeries for pathologically confirmed MPEs of the cauda equina. Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to study inclusion. Tumor samples were collected at the time of surgery and stored in liquid nitrogen. In addition, blood samples were collected.

**Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Genotyping and Copy Number Variation Analyses**

Genomic DNA from the peripheral venous blood and tumor tissue samples was obtained using standard techniques and hybridized to a Cytoscan 750K Array (Affymetrix, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Median centering of copy number probes was performed before summarization and visualization using the GeneChip® Scanner 3000 7G System (Affymetrix, Inc.). Significant focal regions of amplification or deletion were identified using the Chromosome Analysis Suite 3.1 software package (Affymetrix, Inc.)

**RESULTS**

**Patient Demographics**

Of the five patients (age, 30–45 years) included for analysis, four were male and one was female. Radiological and histological findings were similar among all patients. Representative preoperative T1- and T2-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) images are shown in Figure 1A, B, whereas representative histopathological images of patient 3 are shown in Figure 2A-C.

**Copy Number Variations**

Screening of all blood and tumor samples revealed numerous amplifications and a few deletions. A representative karyotype is shown in Figure 3. Whole genome analysis of the blood sample of patient 1 demonstrated amplifications on chromosomes 7q36.2 and 14q32.33, whereas that of the tumor sample revealed amplifications on chromosomes 5, 7, 9, 16, 18, and 20 and partial amplifications on chromosomes 8p23.3 and 14q32.33. Whole genome analysis of the blood sample of patient 2 showed amplifications on chromosome 14q32.33, whereas that of the tumor sample exhibited amplifications on chromosomes 5, 7, 9, 16, and 17. The blood sample of patient 3 demonstrated amplification on chromosome 14q32.33 and deletion on chromosome 16p13.3, whereas the tumor sample contained whole amplifications on chromosomes 5, 7, 9, 16, 18, and 21q and partial amplifications on chromosomes 6p25.3, 14q32.33, and Yp11.2. The blood sample of patient 4, who exhibited the most aggressive tumor in the present study, showed amplifications on chromosomes 14q32.33, 20p12.1, 22q11.22, and Yp11.2 and deletions on chromosomes 1q21.1 and 16p13.11. Besides having the most aggressive tumor, patient 4 also exhibited the greatest number of copy number variations. The tumor sample of patient 4 showed whole amplifications on chromosomes 5, 7, 9, 16, 18, and 20, partial amplification on chromosome 14q32.33, and deletion on chromosome 1q21.1. The blood sample of patient 5 exhibited partial amplifications on chromosomes 1q31.1 and 14q32.33, whereas the tumor sample showed partial amplifications on chromosomes 1q31.1, 14q32.33, and Yp11.2. Chromosomal gains and losses are shown in Table I. All tumor samples exhibited a higher number of chromosomal variations compared with that of the blood samples; this finding was compatible with the genetic structure of tumors. Notably, the blood and tumor samples of all patients, except the tumor sample of patient 2, showed amplification on chromosome 14q32.33. All tumor samples showed amplifications on chromosomes 5, 7, 9, and 16. Chromosome 20 of four tumor samples and chromosome 18 of three

---

**Figure 1:** Representative MR images of “patient 3”. A preoperative T2-weighted MR image showing high intensity areas (A), A preoperative T1-weighted MR image with contrast showing homogenous enhancement patterns (B).
Figure 2: Histopathological findings of “patient 3”. Microscopic analysis revealed elongated tumor cells surrounded by vascularized myxoid stromal cores (A). Myxoid matrix accumulation between vessels and perivascular tumor cells. Tumor cells had round nuclei and delicate chromatin. Papillary structures were not apparent. There was no mitosis or necrosis. Myxoid areas were stained with Alcian blue. The tumor cells showed diffuse staining for vimentin and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (B) and dot-like staining for epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) (C). These findings confirmed the diagnosis of an MPE. A) Perivascular arrangement of elongated tumor cells surrounding vascularized myxoid cores (H&E stain, ×100). B) Diffuse GFAP immunoreactivity in tumor cells (GFAP stain, ×200). C) Dot-like EMA immunoreactivity in tumor cells (EMA stain, ×200).

Table I: Chromosomal Aberration of Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>7q36.2 (amp) 14q32.33 (amp)</td>
<td>5,7,9,16,18,20 (amp) 8p23.3 (amp) 14q32.33 (amp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>14q32.33 (amp)</td>
<td>5,7,9,16,17 (amp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>14q32.33 (amp) 16p13.3 (del)</td>
<td>5,7,9,16,18,20,21q (amp) 6p25.3 (amp) 14q32.33 (amp) Yp11.2 (amp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1q21.1 (del) 6p13.11 (del) 14q32.33 (amp) 20p12.1 (amp) 22q11.22 (amp) Yp11.2 (amp)</td>
<td>5,7,9,16,18,20 (amp) 1q21.1 (del) 14q32.33 (amp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1q31.1 (amp) 14q32.33 (amp)</td>
<td>5,7,9,16,20 (amp) 1q31.1 (amp) 14q32.33 (amp) Yp11.2 (amp)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Del: Deletion, Amp: Amplification.

tumor samples contained amplifications. Patient 4, who was diagnosed with the recurrence of an aggressive tumor, had undergone three surgeries because of metastasis—two surgeries of the thoracic spine and one of the posterior fossa. All metastases were histologically confirmed as MPEs. Whole genome analysis of the blood sample of patient 4 revealed unique genetic alterations to chromosomes 22q11.2 and 20p11.2, whereas the tumor sample exhibited deletion on chromosome 1q21.1. The tumor samples of patients 3 and 5 and blood sample of patient 4 exhibited amplifications on chromosome Yp11.2.

**DISCUSSION**

It has long been known that chromosomal abnormalities are present in tumor cells. Unlike normal cells, tumor cells are typically aneuploid and often contain translocations, deletions, and/or amplifications (14). Previous studies have revealed location-specific molecular profiles and high intra-tumoral heterogeneity at each location of the central nervous system (15,17). To elucidate the biological basis of regional heterogeneity of ependymomas, most studies have focused on field-specific molecular changes by analyzing genomic sequences (8,11). To understand intra-tumoral heterogeneity in all anatomical compartments (supratentorial, infratentorial,
Figure 3: Karyotype view of copy number variation analyses of the blood and tumor samples from all patients. Blue lines indicate amplification, and red lines indicate deletion.
and spinal), researchers have employed whole genome and whole exon sequencing of both supratentorial (10), and posterior fossa (6,17) ependymomas.

In the present study, MPEs of five patients were screened using microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization. As previously reported in the literature, numerous chromosomal aberrations have been identified in ependymomas (3). The present study is the first to demonstrate chromosomal aberrations throughout the entire genome of MPEs located in the cauda equina.

In a study of supratentorial ependymomas, Parker et al. discovered that genomic assemblages clustered in an extremely focal region on the chromosome 11q12.1–q13.3 (10). Chromosomal fragments in this region contained fusions of the uncharacterized C11orf95–RELA fusion protein, which is the primary effector of the nuclear factor-kB pathway. These fusions occurred in approximately 70% of the supratentorial tumors and were strictly specific to this region. Moreover, that study identified YAP1–MAML1 fusion in supratentorial ependymomas without RELA fusion. Both C11orf95–RELA and YAP1–MAML1 fusions were identified using DNA methylation studies, which showed that the supratentorial ependymomas containing the YAP1 fusion were characterized by a subgroup without RELA fusion (9). Unlike the C11orf95–RELA fusion, no chromothripsis was detected in YAP1 fusions. Both fusion proteins were oncogenic. Malgulwar et al. reported that the clinicopathological features of supratentorial ependymomas included C11orf95–RELA and YAP1 gene fusions (7). In the present study, there was no genomic similarity between supratentorial ependymomas and MPEs.

Compared with the studies on intracranial and spinal ependymomas, those on the molecular biology of MPEs are limited. Lee et al. found that MPEs tended to have a high incidence of NEFL mutations (3); however, no unique genetic mutations were observed. A study on the variations of spinal ependymomas conducted by Johnson et al. detected gains of chromosomes 4, 7, 9, 12, 15q, and 18q and deletion of chromosome 22q (2). The results of the present study showed that all tumor tissues exhibited a chromosomal 7 polysomy. Barton et al. employed a microarray for gene expression analysis of 5 cases of pediatric myxopapillary ependymoma. Brain Pathol 20:560–570, 2010


In contrast to prior reports, the results of the present study demonstrated that amplification on the chromosome 14q32.33 region in tumor tissues and matched blood samples of our patients is a novel copy number variation.

CONCLUSION

A microarray platform was employed in the present study to detect high-resolution copy number variations across the whole genome, which identified novel chromosomal aberrations in MPEs. Molecular biological and genetic studies of MPEs are rare in the literature. This is the first study to report copy number variations throughout the entire MPE genome. Previous studies of MPEs have typically focused on gene expression profiles. In the present study, chromosomes 5, 7, 9, and 16 were amplified in all patients, particularly the tumor tissues. Furthermore, amplification on the chromosome 14q32.33 region in blood and tumor tissue samples from our patients revealed a novel copy number variation. Genetic analysis of chromosome 14q32.33 identified >200 genes. However, further studies with larger cohorts are required to identify genes involved in MPE tumorigenesis as well as to validate our results. Nonetheless, these findings provide a basis for advanced molecular biological and genetic studies of MPEs.
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