Traumatic Spondylolisthesis of the Axis Treated with Direct C2 Pars Screw

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: The optimal treatment modality for traumatic spondylolisthesis of the axis is still a controversial issue. Many conservative, posterior and anterior fixation techniques have been used to treat these injuries. The C2 pars (isthmus) was used as a novel screw anchor point in upper cervical spine injuries. The direct C2 pars screws are also used to fixate the posterior and anterior parts of the C2 vertebra.

METHODS: Four cases with traumatic spondylolisthesis of the axis were presented. The cases were classified as type II according to Levine and Edwards’ classification. C2 pars was used to fixate the posterior C2 elements to the C2 body.

RESULTS: While a direct C2 pars screw was used for C2 fixation in two cases, a C2 pars-C3 lateral mass screw-plate system was used in the remaining two cases. A clinical and radiological improvement was achieved in all cases.

CONCLUSION: It is concluded that the C2 pars can be used as screw-bone interface in upper cervical spine traumas. The direct C2 pars screw can fixate the C2 in well-selected cases. The additional C2-3 fixation increases the C2-3 stability.
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INTRODUCTION

Traumatic spondylolisthesis of the axis (TSA), also known as Hangman fracture, is one of the well-known injuries involving the upper cervical spine. It has various stable and unstable types. The treatment spectrum includes a variety of non-surgical treatments using collars and halo, and various surgical treatments (14). Despite developments in the diagnosis and surgical technologies, the optimum surgical treatment of choice remains controversial. The classical anterior approaches include anterolateral and transoral C2-3 discectomy and fusion techniques (23). The posterior techniques include C1-2 or C2-3 stabilization techniques (2,4,9,15). Recently C2 pedicle and pars have been an appropriate point of fixation during posterior upper cervical spine surgery (11,24,25). (Figure 1). The use of pedicle and pars as a part of complex upper cervical spine instrumentation encouraged spine surgeons to use these points for fixation of the anterior and posterior parts of the C2 vertebra (5,10,12,17,19-21). This, on the one hand, provides a good fixation of the C2 vertebra, and also preserves the C1-2 and C2-3 motion segments. The aim of this study was to review the results obtained from the C2 pars screw in four cases with TSA.

MATERIALS and METHODS

The clinical, radiological and surgical aspects of four cases with TSA were reviewed. The pain severity was assessed using the VAS score, the neurological score using the ASIA score, and the radiological assessments were performed using plain cervical spine radiographs, cervical spine CT and MRI. The C2-3 spondylolisthesis was measured using the distance between the lines parallel to posterior walls of C2 and C3 vertebral bodies, and the C2-3 angulation was measured as the angle between the lines joining the C2 inferior end plate and C3 superior endplates.

RESULTS

The clinical, radiological and surgical aspects of the cases were listed in table I. There were four cases, including two male and two female patients. The patients’ ages ranged between 23 and 47 years (mean 34 years). The cases were admitted to the hospital 2 to 18 days after the trauma. The trauma was due to a traffic accident in two cases and due to falling in two cases. All cases presented with pain. The VAS scores ranged between 7 and 9 (mean 7.75). The ASIA score was found to be E in three cases and C in one case. The C2-3 spondylolisthesis was measured between 2 to 8 mm, and the angulation rate was found to be 0-22 degrees. Surgical treatment included direct pars screw in two cases (Figure 2) and C2 pars-C3 lateral fixation.

Table I: The clinical, radiological and surgical details of the four operated cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>NS-pre</th>
<th>VAS-pre</th>
<th>Slip-pre</th>
<th>Angle-pre</th>
<th>NS-PO</th>
<th>VAS-PO</th>
<th>Slip-PO</th>
<th>Angle-PO</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C2 DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C2 DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C2-3 fix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C2-3 fix.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: F: Female, M: Male, Type: the type of fracture according to Levine and Edwards classification, NS-pre: Neurological status before surgery according to ASIA classification, VAS-pre: The severity of pain according to VAS score, slip-pre: the amount of C2-3 slip in mm before surgery, Angle-pre: C2-3 angle before surgery, NS-PO: Neurological status after surgery according to ASIA classification, VAS-PO: The severity of pain according to VAS score after surgery, slip-PO: the amount of C2-3 slip in mm after surgery, angle-PO: C2-3 angle after surgery, DPS: Direct pars screw, C2-3 fix: C2-3 fixation.
mass screw-plate fixation in two cases. VAS scores reduced to 0 in two cases, to 1 in one case and reduced to 3 in one case (mean 1). Neurological state improved to ASIA D in a case with C score before the surgery. The slip amount reduced to 0 in one, and to 1 mm in three cases. The C2-3 angulation reduced in all cases. The follow-up ranged from 8 to 40 months (mean 24 months). Fusion was achieved in all cases.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study showed the effectiveness of pars screws to fixate TSA. The long-term results of this study also revealed a good fusion rate.

TSA has been classified in different manners. Effendi provided a classification (8). Levine and Edwards (13) modified Effendi’s classification as following:

Type 1: Non-displaced fractures with no angulation between C2 and C3 and a fracture dislocation of less than 3 mm,

Type 2: Fracture with significant angulation (>11°) and displacement (>3.5 mm),

Type 2A: Fracture with minimum displacement and significant angulation (>11°),

Type 3: Fractures with severe angulation and displacement associated with unilateral or bilateral C2-3 facet dislocation

Using the aforementioned classifications, many conservative and surgical approaches to TSA have been recommended. Classically, type 1 TSA cases can be treated conservatively. Type 2 and 3 fractures require an anterior or posterior surgical treatment. An anterior approach, transoral or extraoral C2-3 fusion and fixation, addresses C2-3 disc herniation and C2-3 stabilization (10,23). An anterior approach, however, does not address the fractured line on the pediculoisthmic component. On the other hand, a posterior approach can fixate posterior and anterior parts of the C2 vertebra, and the addition of posterior C2-3 fixation can stabilize this segment. Unlike an anterior approach, the posterior approach does not address traumatic C2-3 disc herniation. A combined approach covers all elements of the C2-3 segment. An alternative surgical option includes direct screw fixation of C2 pars or pedicle.

The C2 pars and pedicle connect posterior elements of the C2 vertebra to the C2 body (7,16).
Direct C2 pedicle screw fixation technique for TSA was first described by Laconte et al. in 1964 (12). Direct fixation of pars fracture using a screw crossing the fracture line has the advantage of preserving motion of the C1-2 segment that can offer good results in terms of the cervical spine alignment. The study of Laconte was followed by Saillant and Bleynie (19). Successful results after the use of this technique were reported in different studies (5,10,17,20,21).

Suchomel et al. (20), reviewing 41 cases of TSA, reported the use of direct pars screw fixation in 11 type I TSA cases. They performed posterior C2-3 stabilization in all cases of type II, and in selected cases of type I TSA that had the evidence of discoligamentous injury as shown by MRI or discography. They reported 100% fusion rate in all cases. A similar good outcome was reported by Boullosa et al., after the use of direct pedicle screw fixation in 10 cases of TSA. They reported a good outcome in eight cases using direct pedicle screw fixation. However, an additional C2-3 stabilization was necessary in two cases.

In another study, Hakato and Woriski (10) compared the results after pars screw fixation (n=8) and transoral C2-3 fixation (n=9). They reported fusion in all cases. The C1-2 motion segment was preserved in all cases. They addressed the potential difficulty of reduction of flexion type dislocations during the transoral approach. They therefore recommended pars screw fixation in flexion type dislocations, and transoral C2-3 fixation in extension type dislocations.

Verheggen and Jensen (22) reported their results of surgical treatment in 15 cases of type II and III hangman fracture using pedicle screws in a clinical study. They reported a significant improvement of C2-3 displacement and angulation, especially in type IIA cases. However, they did not recommend the use of pedicle screw fixation in the presence of traumatic disc herniation. We agree with Verheggen and Jensen, and it is our opinion that the direct pars or pedicle screw fixation is not indicated in cases complicated with traumatic disc herniation.

Biomechanical aspects of C2 pedicle or pars fixation techniques have been investigated recently (1,2,6,18). The destabilizing effect of discoligamentous destruction on the C2-3 biomechanics has been shown by many biomechanical studies. Arand et al. (1,2), studied destabilizing effects of stepwise C2 pedicle osteotomy (defect 1) followed by anterior longitudinal ligament section (defect 2), disc injury (defect 3), and posterior longitudinal ligament section (defect 4). They also studied the stabilizing effects of C2 pedicle screw, anterior H plate and locking plate fixation in the aforementioned destabilization scenarios. They reported that a pedicle screw increased stiffness mildly in all loading conditions compared to the intact value in defect 1. However, the addition of discoligamentous injury (as seen in defect 2,3, and 4) resulted in decreased stiffness, particularly in flexion and extension.

Our results support the evidence of effectiveness of direct pars screw in cases without discoligamentous injury. We agree with Arand et al. that pedicle screw fixation is not adequate in cases associated with severe discoligamentous injury. We performed C2-3 stabilization in such cases.

The effectiveness of the pedicle or pars screw was also studied by Duggal et al. (6) who showed that direct screw fixation of the pars after TSA effectively limits lateral bending and axial rotation, while ineffectively limiting flexion and extension, if there is excessive disc damage. The authors of that study also reported that C2-3 posterior fixation provides more rigid stability when compared to anterior C2-3 fixation.

The effectiveness of pedicle screw in cases of TSA without discoligamentous injuries may require the revision of the classification systems, addressing the injuries of the anterior longitudinal ligament, intervertebral disc, posterior longitudinal ligament, and facet joints.

It is concluded that the use of C2 pedicle screws, isolated or in connection with C3 lateral mass screws, is a good approach for fixation of cases of TSA (type II, type IIA, and III). The lack of discoligamentous injury is the key point during the surgical decision-making process. In cases without discoligamentous injury, a reduction and C2 pars screw fixation seem to effective. However, the presence of discoligamentous injury dictates the connection of C2 pedicle or pars screws to C3 lateral mass screws.
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