Simple Decompression of the Ulnar Nerve at the Elbow Via Proximal and Distal Mini Skin Incisions

INTRODUCTION

The cubital tunnel syndrome is the second most frequent entrapment neuropathy in the upper extremity in adults (6,14). Although numerous etiologies including external trauma, pressure, bone impingement, irregularities in muscles, subluxation of ulnar nerve, ganglia and severe cubitus valgus deformity have been proposed for the pathophysiology of cubital tunnel syndrome, the majority of the cases are idiopathic (16,19,27).

Conservative therapy is recommended for mild cases (4). However, more severe cases with no improvement after conservative therapy or cases developing new muscle weakness are candidates for surgical treatment (19). Surgical options for these patients consist of simple decompression with or without medial epicondylectomy, and transposition procedures (7).

The traditional simple decompression of the ulnar nerve requires a relatively long skin incision of 6-8 cm, above and below the elbow. We have performed in-situ decompression of the ulnar nerve at the elbow through proximal and distal mini skin incisions and report the clinical and surgical results of this new method.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Four patients underwent surgical treatment for cubital tunnel syndrome in our department in 2007. The mean age of the patients was 41.25±9.91 years (range 28-51 years) and the gender distribution was two female and two male. The mean duration of symptoms was 12.5±4.04 months (range 9-18 months) and the mean follow-up time was 13.75±1.7 weeks (range 12-16 weeks). The diagnosis of cubital tunnel syndrome was based on physical examination and electrodagnostic study. None of the patients had compressive ulnar neuropathy.
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at another site (cervical radiculopathy, thoracic outlet or Guyon's canal syndrome), angular deformity at the elbow or a systemic disease such as diabetes mellitus or chronic renal failure.

Preoperative clinical states of the patients were classified by the McGowan grading system (24). In this classification system, patients with mild occasional paraesthesia, positive Tinel's sign and subjective weakness are grade-I, patients with moderate paraesthesia, objective weakness and positive Tinel's sign are grade-II and, patients with severe constant paraesthesia, objective weakness and overt muscle wasting are grade-III. According to this classification all of our patients were recorded as grade-II.

Postoperative clinical states of the patients were recorded using the Wilson and Krout grading system (30). Patients with minimal motor and sensory complaints were graded as excellent, patients with occasional ache and mild sensory and motor complaints were graded as good, patients with improved but persistent sensory and motor complaints were graded as fair, and patients with no improvement or a worsened condition were graded as poor. In addition to clinical grading, postoperative follow-up electromyographic studies were also performed.

The operative procedure was performed under axillary regional anesthesia without using a tourniquet. We used 1-gram intravenous cephalosporin-sodium for each procedure. The arm was abducted and externally rotated with the elbow flexed to about 60 degrees. A traditional 6-8 centimeters long curved (loose omega) skin incision centering anterior to the medial epicondyle and, extending above and below the elbow was marked using a sterile surgical pen (Figure 1A). Next, two skin incisions (2-centimeters each), at both the proximal and distal end of the marked line were performed (Figure 1B). The dissection was performed through the subcutaneous tissue. First, skin edges of the proximal incision were elevated by hooks and/or retractors and gently retracted towards ulnar sulcus between the medial epicondyle and olecranon, and the ulnar nerve was identified by dividing the Osborne ligament. Then, the ulnar nerve was followed proximally until it was released as it passed through the medial intermuscular septum. Next, the ulnar nerve was followed subcutaneously towards distal skin incision. Under the distal skin incision, the nerve was released by cutting the cubital tunnel retinaculum and flexor carpi ulnaris aponeurosis allowing the complete simple in-situ ulnar nerve decompression at the elbow (Figure 1C). After careful hemostasis, the wounds were closed in the usual manner. We did not use postoperative splints and the patients were encouraged to return to daily activities two weeks after the operation.

RESULTS

Demographic data of the patients, details of their history with clinical and electrodiagnostic tests, preoperative and postoperative grades are presented in Table I.

Clinically, three of the four patients were recorded as excellent and one patient was recorded as good according to Wilson and Krout grading system at the last follow-up. The patient graded as good (Case-4) was the eldest patient in this study with the longest history of complaints.

In the final follow-up electrodiagnostic tests, one patient showed improvement in sensory nerve conduction velocity (SNCV) and one patient showed improvement in motor nerve conduction velocity (MNCV).

No early or late postoperative complications such as loss of sensibility around scar, infection, seroma or dehiscence of wound was observed in any of the patients.

DISCUSSION

Cubital Tunnel Syndrome is the second most frequent entrapment neuropathy in the upper extremity in adults. During its course in the arm and forearm, the ulnar nerve can be compressed at four potential sites (Figure 2) (27). The first site is in the arm where the ulnar nerve pierces the medial intermuscular septum and emerges from under the arcade of Struthers, that is located at approximately 8-cm. proximal to the medial epicondyle. The second potential site of compression is the ulnar groove between olecranon and medial epicondyole. The third site is the humeroulnar arcade (or the cubital tunnel), and the last potential site of entrapment is the exit point between the two heads of flexor carpi ulnaris. The roof of the cubital tunnel is a thick fibrous aponeurosis (arcuate ligament of Osborne) that connects the humeral

Figure 1: Operative view of the traditional loose omega type skin incision A), proximal and distal mini skin incisions B) and the ulnar nerve C) at elbow.
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The floor of the cubital tunnel is formed by the medial collateral ligament and the joint capsule. The medial epicondyle and the olecranon form the walls of the cubital tunnel. The most distal site of compression of the ulnar nerve occurs approximately 5 to 7-cm distal to the medial epicondyle.

The treatment of cubital tunnel syndrome is controversial. Initial non-operative treatment including patient education, elbow splinting and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication has been shown to be effective in mild cases. Surgery is indicated for the failed cases of conservative treatment and for the patients who present with weakness, atrophy, or significant denervation on electromyogram (3,8). Surgical options consist of simple decompression with or without medial epicondylectomy, or anterior subcutaneous, intramuscular and submuscular transposition procedures (3). The aims of the surgical treatment are to release all possible compression sites, to preserve the vascularity of the ulnar nerve, and to allow early mobilization of the elbow (27). Simple decompression is recommended for patients that exhibit mild symptoms, for patients with abnormal electrodiagnostic studies and for patients without subluxation of the ulnar nerve (10,12,15,27).

In accordance with the surgical objectives described above, simple decompression has several advantages: it is technically simple and safe, it does not influence the blood supply of the nerve and it also allows early postoperative rehabilitation (9,17,25). The traditional simple decompression of ulnar nerve requires a relatively long, omega shaped skin incision of 6-8 cm, above and below the elbow. Potential complications of this incision include sensibility loss around the scar, dehiscence of the wound, infection and seroma, as well as an undesirable cosmetic appearance (8,21).

The most common cause of pain and sensibility loss following cubital tunnel surgery is injury to the branches of the medial antebrachial cutaneous nerve (MACN). The MACN arises from the medial cord of the brachial plexus and it divides into two main branches in the upper arm. The anterior branch innervates the distal anterior forearm, antecubital fossa and ulnar side of anterior forearm. The posterior branch of the MACN, which is the most commonly injured branch during cubital tunnel surgery, travels anywhere from 6 cm proximally to 4 cm distally to the medial epicondyly and innervates the skin over the posterior olecranon and proximal half of the posterior ulnar forearm (22). There are also few numbers of small branches of the MACN crossing the ulnar nerve in the region of cubital tunnel. Avoiding these branches during surgery improves clinical success of the cubital tunnel surgery regardless of the surgical technique (13,20). One of the best ways to avoid this complication is to understand the anatomy of MACN and its relations with medial epicondyle and the ulnar nerve, as well as careful surgical dissection.

---

**Table I:** Summary of the Patients that Underwent Simple Ulnar Nerve Decompression Via Proximal and Distal Mini Skin Incisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Duration of symptoms (months)</th>
<th>Preoperative grade in the McGowan system</th>
<th>Postoperative grade in the Wilson and Krout system</th>
<th>Follow-up (weeks)</th>
<th>Preoperative SNCV/MNCV</th>
<th>Postoperative SNCV/MNCV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28/F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Grade-II</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SNCV: abnormal</td>
<td>SNCV: abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Grade-II</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>SNCV: abnormal</td>
<td>SNCV: abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Grade-II</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SNCV: abnormal</td>
<td>SNCV: normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>51/F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Grade-II</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>SNCV: abnormal</td>
<td>SNCV: abnormal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNCV: sensory nerve conduction velocity, MNCV: motor nerve conduction velocity.

---

**Figure 2:** Schematic drawing of the potential compression sites of the ulnar nerve at elbow.
Endoscopic ulnar nerve release techniques have been used to minimize complications and to provide patients return their profession earlier. Tsai et al. used specifically designed glass tubes to house the endoscope and a meniscus knife and reported that endoscopic assistance is a safe and reliable technique for cubital tunnel surgery (28). Nakao et al. used three 5 mm skin incisions along the course of the ulnar nerve for endoscopic release of the nerve in the cubital tunnel and presented earlier return to work and daily activity of the patients without any neurovascular complications (26). Kriehnan et al. reported their results with uniportal endoscopic ulnar nerve release and transposition through a single 15-20 mm skin incision located over ulnar sulcus and concluded that their method could be a simple and effective alternative to traditional open surgery (21). Hoffman et al. used an illuminated specula and endoscopes designed for ENT surgeons and face lifting. They used a 1.5-3 cm skin incision over the retrocondylar grove to release the ulnar nerve (18). They concluded that small incisions can cause inadequate nerve release and increased injury to MACN. More recently, Ahcan et al. used a 4 mm, 30° standard endoscope with a custom made guiding-dissecting tool and successfully decompressed ulnar nerve at the elbow through a 3,5 cm incision over the medial epicondyle (1). In addition to these clinical reports, few human cadaveric studies supported the safe and effective use of endoscopic techniques in the treatment of cubital tunnel syndrome (5,23). However, endoscopic release of the ulnar nerve in the cubital tunnel has several drawbacks. For instance, using endoscopy provides only a two-dimensional and limited view of the surgical area and also requires special equipment and a learning curve (21,29).

In this study, we used two small skin incisions at both end of a classical loose omega incision to decompress the ulnar nerve, in stead of a complete loose omega skin incision. In their human cadaveric study, Alp et al. reported that simple decompression of ulnar nerve at elbow through two limited skin incisions is anatomically possible with a very low incidence of ulnar nerve injury (2). However, to the best of our knowledge, no clinical application of this method has been reported so far. In this report, we have shown that we were able to decompress the ulnar nerve at the elbow effectively via proximal and distal two mini skin incisions. This new minimally invasive technique provided us the advantages of endoscopic technique such as small skin incisions and early return to daily activity, without the limitations of endoscopy such as two-dimensional view of the surgical field or need for special equipment and learning curve. The loose connective tissue structure of the arm enabled us to retract and elevate the skin incisions upward, proximally and distally. Therefore, we were able to reach and decompress all of the four potential compression sites of the ulnar nerve at elbow. Additionally, previous surgical experiences with familiarity to traditional open decompression and, observing the anatomy three-dimensionally allowed us to perform the surgery relatively easily. We observed no neurovascular complications in our patients. All of the four patients achieved satisfactory clinical results at the last follow-up time points. On the other hand, the good clinical results were not accompanied with improvements in the electrophysiologic studies in the same degree. This can be explained by the short follow-up time in our study. Davis et al. have studied the safety and efficacy of submuscular transposition of ulnar nerve and its correlation with neurophysiological tests and, they reported that functional improvement is not always correlated with postoperative nerve conduction studies (11).

In conclusion, our experience showed that in situ (simple) decompression of the ulnar nerve at elbow via proximal and distal mini skin incisions can be an effective, technically simple and safe alternative surgical method in the treatment of cubital tunnel syndrome. The small number of the patients, lack of a control group and short follow-up time are the limitations of this study and our results should be reconfirmed in a randomized prospective controlled study.
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